CAPE COD NATIONAL SEASHORE ADVISORY COMMISSION THREE HUNDRED AND FOURTH MEETING

HELD AT CAPE COD NATIONAL SEASHORE, Marconi Station Area, Park Headquarters, South Wellfleet, Massachusetts, on Monday, September 19, 2016, commencing at 1:05 p.m.

SITTING:

Richard Delaney, Chairman Larry Spaulding Don Nuendel Lilli Green Joseph Craig Sheila Lyons (partial) Mary-Jo Avellar Mark Robinson Maureen Burgess

Nat Goddard, alternate Kathleen Bacon, alternate

Also present:

George Price, Superintendent
Kathy Tevyaw, Deputy Superintendent
Lauren McKean, Park Planner
Sue Moynihan, Chief of Interpretation & Cultural Resources
Nita Tallent, Chief of Natural Resources & Science
Bill Burke, Park Historian
Courtney Butler, Centennial Volunteer Ambassador
Russell Braun, Truro Building Commissioner
Attorney Donald Nagle, attorney for Adventure Bound Camping
Resorts/Horton's Campground

Audience members

LINDA M. CORCORAN

CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER

P. O. Box 4

Kingston, Massachusetts 02364

(781) 585-8172

I N D E X

	Page
Adoption of Agenda	3
Approval of Minutes of Previous Meeting (June 6, 2016)	3
Reports of Officers	4
Reports of Subcommittees	10
Update of Pilgrim Nuclear Plant Emergency Planning.	10
Nickerson Conservation Fellowship	24
Superintendent's Report	28
Properties Related to their CSCs	29
Cape Cod Modern Houses and the National Seashore - Bill Burke, Park Historian	70
Shorebird Management Plan/Environmental Assessment	
Update	82
Seashore Projects	82
National Park Service Centennial	82
Nauset Light Beach Update	83
Highlands Center Update	85
Herring River Wetland Restoration	89
Old Business	92
Live Lightly Campaign Progress Report	92
New Business	97
Date and Agenda for Next Meeting	100
Public Comment	106
Adjournment	119
Poportoria Cortificato	120

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. DELANEY: Good afternoon, everyone. What day
3	is it? We wanted the rain but not all at once.
4	Here we are. And I'm very honored to call to order
5	the 304th meeting of the Cape Cod National Seashore
6	Advisory Commission.
7	ADOPTION OF AGENDA
8	MR. DELANEY: You have an agenda sent out in
9	advance. Unless there's a proposed change to the
10	agenda, I'll entertain a motion to adopt it as printed.
11	MS. AVELLAR: So moved.
12	MR. NUENDEL: Second.
13	MS. GREEN: Second.
14	MR. DELANEY: All those in favor?
15	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
16	APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING (JUNE 6, 2016)
17	MR. DELANEY: You also have the minutes sent out in
18	advance. And as always, I look to our scribe from
19	our representative from Eastham to take the first whack
20	at it.
21	MR. NUENDEL: Okay. I'm always impressed when I
22	start reading these things. The number of topics that
23	we talk about is just amazing. We work hard. I'm not
24	bragging, but it's a fact.

1	I read these, and I read them pretty carefully. I
2	recommend that we accept the minutes.
3	MS. BURGESS: Second.
4	MR. DELANEY: Anyone else with a comment, edit, or
5	change?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. DELANEY: Okay, all those who are in favor of
8	adopting the minutes as written, signify by saying aye.
9	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
10	MR. DELANEY: Those opposed?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. DELANEY: Motion carries.
13	Thank you very much to our excellent transcriber.
13 14	Thank you very much to our excellent transcriber. REPORTS OF OFFICERS
14	REPORTS OF OFFICERS
14 15	REPORTS OF OFFICERS MR. DELANEY: Now we'll move to Reports of
14 15 16	REPORTS OF OFFICERS MR. DELANEY: Now we'll move to Reports of Officers.
14 15 16 17	REPORTS OF OFFICERS MR. DELANEY: Now we'll move to Reports of Officers. And I don't have any particular report other than I
14 15 16 17	REPORTS OF OFFICERS MR. DELANEY: Now we'll move to Reports of Officers. And I don't have any particular report other than I will just say that I had a chance to spend the last
14 15 16 17 18	REPORTS OF OFFICERS MR. DELANEY: Now we'll move to Reports of Officers. And I don't have any particular report other than I will just say that I had a chance to spend the last three days last week in Washington with Secretary of
14 15 16 17 18 19	REPORTS OF OFFICERS MR. DELANEY: Now we'll move to Reports of Officers. And I don't have any particular report other than I will just say that I had a chance to spend the last three days last week in Washington with Secretary of State John Kerry and about 60 or 70 other ministers of
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. DELANEY: Now we'll move to Reports of Officers. And I don't have any particular report other than I will just say that I had a chance to spend the last three days last week in Washington with Secretary of State John Kerry and about 60 or 70 other ministers of state or secretaries of state of foreign affairs who

countries was about 1.5 million square miles of ocean protected. President Obama came to make our announced -- the United States commitment, which was to designate some of the canyons and the coral, the seamounts and the canyons off of Stellwagen, off of Georges Bank for about 5,000 square miles.

It was kind of fun to see the perspective where back here the newspapers were making this huge deal about 5,000 square miles. Oh, my God. You know, what's going to happen to the fishermen? Meanwhile, other nations around the world were putting aside 1.5 million square miles. So it was kind of exciting. And there's other -- there were about \$5 billion worth of other commitments to do management, research, education, and work around marine pollution, including plastics and overfishing and illegal fishing of the high seas.

And I bring that all to our attention not only because it's very exciting where we deal with oceans here at the Park, but because of the status of this -- our area, which is now the National Marine Monument. The Department of Interior will be very much involved in managing that, so it's maybe like our offshore Cape Cod National Seashore in a way, I guess.

24 Mary-Jo?

1	MS. AVELLAR: I have a question. I went on a whale
2	watch this week, and they kept referring and some of the
3	signs I noticed down at MacMillan Pier kept calling it
4	the Stellwagen Bank National Sanctuary. Isn't it the
5	Gerry E. Studds Stellwagen Bank National Marine
6	Sanctuary?
7	MR. DELANEY: Yeah.
8	MS. AVELLAR: I don't see Gerry Studds' name on a
9	lot of the signage that I saw down on MacMillan Pier,
10	and I'm kind of concerned about that because he deserves
11	to have his name everywhere we can find it.
12	MR. DELANEY: I agree with you, and you're speaking
13	to the right person. I chaired that advisory committee
14	as well, and we meet just next week, and I'll raise that
15	issue with the staff.
16	MS. AVELLAR: Yeah, the Dolphin Fleet told me that
17	it's in the brochures or something that they hand out,
18	but I didn't get a brochure.
19	MR. DELANEY: His name is being lost, and his
20	contribution should continue to be recognized.
21	MS. AVELLAR: Exactly. That's what I was concerned
22	about.
23	MR. DELANEY: Good, all right.
24	Yes, Maureen?

1	MS. BURGESS: Mr. Chairman, I have a question about
2	the designation of that monument.
3	So a president can designate a monument. Can a
4	succeeding president remove a monument?
5	MR. PRICE: I think that's an interesting question.
6	I'm, frankly, not sure if they can remove a monument,
7	but in the past the contention has been between the
8	President naming a monument and the Congress funding it.
9	That's usually where the rub is, but presidents have
10	been naming monuments for a long time. That was Teddy
11	Roosevelt's big claim to fame. He named some of the
12	monuments national monuments. President Bush named the
13	(inaudible), a marine monument off of Hawaii, before he
14	departed office. So that's the executive authority over
15	the historic I forget which act.
16	MR. DELANEY: Antiquities Act.
17	MR. PRICE: Antiquities Act. You're right, that
18	allows that to happen.
19	So I'm not sure if it's as politic as just the next
20	president can deauthorize it or not.
21	MR. DELANEY: I don't believe so. Executive orders
22	can be undone pretty easily by the succeeding executor.
23	MR. PRICE: Yeah.
24	MR. DELANEY: This is an act under authorized by

1 law. So it would be harder. 2 MR. PRICE: There have been National Park 3 designated sites deactivated or deauthorized, but it's a big process. I'm thinking of Michigan, Mackinac, for instance, but it's a big process. 5 6 And, Mary-Jo, just so that you know, a lot of areas 7 end up having other names attached to them. Blackstone Valley now has Chaffee's name and Marsh-8 9 Billings is now Marsh-Billings-Rockefeller. And a lot 10 of times it's an acquaintance-type thing with the name 11 as far as what the original name is. (Inaudible) the 12 addition institutionalized is what we're seeing right 13 now. 14 MR. DELANEY: And just before I go on to the 15 reports from subcommittees, Mary-Jo, coming back to you, 16 if I may mention one of our heroes in the past who's been very active with our issues in the Cape Cod 17 18 National Seashore, Gerry Studds, but also, as you know well, we also lost Josephine Del Deo, who was equally 19 20 important in our lives. You've known her a long time. 21 Would you want to offer a couple of thoughts or comments 22 about Josephine? 23 MS. AVELLAR: I've known Josephine since I was about six years old when Ciro & Sal's was starting a 24

1 restaurant because I lived right across the street. 2 It's now called Poor Richard's Landing, but in those 3 days it was Avellar's Wharf. And our bedrooms -- my bedroom was right on the street. And I don't think the place was licensed then. I mentioned it in the -- I 5 6 gave the eulogy actually at the service. And it was 7 kind of a loud -- it was a late-night kind of place, 8 which drove my father crazy because he was a light 9 sleeper. But I just remember Josephine, of course, as 10 being one of the preeminent people in Provincetown to 11 push for the creation of the Park. And I was -- I guess 12 it was 1960, so when was it? 1960. MR. PRICE: '61. 13 14 MS. AVELLAR: So I was like still in high school. 15 I don't remember a lot. My parents I'm quite sure were very involved because my mother and Josephine were very 16 close. 17 18 What I always liked about Jo was that not only was she instrumental in the creation of the Seashore, but 19 20 she wasn't afraid to take the Seashore on when they tried to get rid of the dune shacks. And we have her to 21

thank that we still have those dune shacks.

22

23

24

contribution to not only Provincetown and the historic

district and that sort of thing, but her impact is

LINDA M. CORCORAN - CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER (781) 585-8172

So her

1	nationwide really. And she never stopped pushing for
2	what she felt was in the best interest of not only
3	Provincetown but the Park as well.
4	Hers is a voice that I'm going to be missing for
5	many, many years to come.
6	MR. DELANEY: Thank you. We all agree. That was a
7	special person.
8	Maureen?
9	MS. BURGESS: If I could, I just wanted also I
10	attended the service, and I just wanted to say that our
11	superintendent made some very nice remarks at her
12	service, at her burial also and gave her a lot of
13	credit, and I think his remarks were very much
14	appreciated by the family.
15	
13	MR. DELANEY: Thank you, George.
16	MR. DELANEY: Thank you, George. Okay, so moving on to Reports of Subcommittees.
16	Okay, so moving on to Reports of Subcommittees.
16 17	Okay, so moving on to Reports of Subcommittees. REPORTS OF SUBCOMMITTEES
16 17 18	Okay, so moving on to Reports of Subcommittees. REPORTS OF SUBCOMMITTEES MR. DELANEY: And the first one will be an update
16 17 18 19	Okay, so moving on to Reports of Subcommittees. REPORTS OF SUBCOMMITTEES MR. DELANEY: And the first one will be an update of our Pilgrim Nuclear Plant Emergency Planning
16 17 18 19 20	Okay, so moving on to Reports of Subcommittees. REPORTS OF SUBCOMMITTEES MR. DELANEY: And the first one will be an update of our Pilgrim Nuclear Plant Emergency Planning Subcommittee.
16 17 18 19 20 21	Okay, so moving on to Reports of Subcommittees. REPORTS OF SUBCOMMITTEES MR. DELANEY: And the first one will be an update of our Pilgrim Nuclear Plant Emergency Planning Subcommittee. Maureen, please.

1	give the commissioners an update. Largely this
2	information I've garnered from newspaper sources, and if
3	I could ask the reporter to begin with the fact that
4	Burgess sighed.
5	(Laughter.)
6	MS. BURGESS: So one good bit of news. At the end
7	of the legislative session in late July, House and
8	Senate negotiators approved a state energy bill that
9	establishes a panel which will be involved in the
10	decommissioning of the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station,
11	which, as you know, is now planned to be shut down in
12	2019. So while the overall reaction to the
13	establishment of the panel to deal with Entergy
14	Corporation, Pilgrim's owner, was positive, not everyone
15	was satisfied with the list of members who may be on the
16	panel; that is, the decommissioning panel, the oversight
17	panel. And the bill now awaits Governor Charlie Baker's
18	signature.
19	Our representative, Sarah Peake, was very
20	instrumental in keeping the proposal alive in the House
21	while in the Senate her counterparts, Dan Wolf and Vinny
22	deMacedo
23	MR. DELANEY: deMacedo.
24	MS. BURGESS: deMacedo of Plymouth kept it alive

1 in the Senate.

Peake thought it was a big win for the people of Massachusetts because the committee, to quote her, will make sure that public safety concerns are addressed and financial concerns are addressed. And issues like air monitoring and emergency planning will also be addressed by the committee. And you may remember the various bills that Representative Peake had put forward in the House, which we always supported with letters from the Commission, and it sounds like those issues have been incorporated into what the panel will be addressing.

So in terms of the composition of the council, the council will include members from state and local government, science and health experts, appointed citizens, Entergy representative, and a present or former employee of the Pilgrim plant. The committee's composition in the original version of the bill also included citizen members who live within the 10-mile emergency planning zone around the plant as well as representation from Barnstable County. However, those members were not included in the final version which was approved by the House and the Senate. And Senator Wolf was quite disappointed because, to quote him, "It gives Cape Cod less of a voice and the corporation more of a

voice. It also gives less of a voice to the citizens of the Commonwealth because the Attorney General is not on it." And he was very perplexed to see why the composition was changed because he felt so much thought had gone on to it.

As you know, Vermont Yankee, which has been shut down through the efforts of citizens and also their governor, has been placed in SAFSTOR, which is the federally approved option that they do have to mothball it for up to 60 years, and that's what we're hoping would not happen with Pilgrim because we want to see a funding and make sure that the money exists to decommission properly and so that it does not fall on the citizens, taxpayers.

So we had a number of violations, unfortunately. That's why I mentioned sighing. Once again, in August 16, Cape Cod Times reported that a stretch of hot weather has, again, affected operations at Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station, making this the third summer out of the last four that the plant has been forced by excessive water temperatures in the bay to power down. The temperatures of the Cape Cod Bay seawater are used to cool the reactor and the turbines, and they were exceeding the maximum allowable federal standards.

As you know, Pilgrim draws over 500 million gallons of water into the bay every day killing multiple fish and sea life and shellfish. And though the cap is currently at 75 degrees, but when it goes into the bay, it comes back 30 degrees higher. And you recall that at our spring meeting we talked about the outdated EPA water discharge permit and the EPA after 20 years I believe of neglect was finally relooking at the permit, and many of the groups like Cape Cod Baywatch are concerned that it doesn't go far enough to remediate this very hot water that's being put back into the bay.

Also in August, Pilgrim was shut down for four days due to a malfunctioning steam isolation valve which is designed to prevent radioactivity from leaking into the environment during a nuclear accident. That same valve had caused a shutdown in August of 2015. On September 6, The Globe reported that Pilgrim was shut down because of a mechanical issue, the second issue in recent weeks. And then on the 13th, The Globe reported that Pilgrim power plant is not aging gracefully and that it's a reason to worry because, once again, it had shut down. Operators pulled the switch after detecting unexpected fluctuations in water levels.

Christine Legere, who's been on this for the Cape

1	Cod Times and has done such good coverage, described the
2	fact that Pilgrim was slowly powering up on that Tuesday
3	and reached nine percent of its capacity when yet
4	another mechanical malfunction forced operators to shut
5	the reactor back down, marking the eighth day the plant
6	has been offline. Operators shut down the plant, as we
7	said, on the 6th because of a faulty regulator valve.
8	Also, a concern was that while the plant was still in
9	shutdown mode there was a leak of 2,680 cubic feet of
10	hydrogen gas in the turbine room which then went into
11	the atmosphere forcing plant operators to file reports
12	with state and federal regulators. However, the local
13	fire chief, Ed Bradley, in Plymouth, to quote him, said,
14	"Entergy Corporation, which owns and operates the
15	Pilgrim Station Nuclear Power Plant, not only didn't
16	notify the fire department of a hydrogen release at the
17	plant as is required to do, but the company then filed a
18	false report on the matter. Entergy reported to the
19	Nuclear Regulatory Commission on the 9th of September
20	" I'll just repeat the quote: "At 17:39 Eastern
21	Daylight Time on Friday, September 9, 2016, the
22	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection and
23	the Plymouth, Massachusetts, Fire Department were
24	notified of a hydrogen release in accordance with plant

procedures as outlined in the Code of Massachusetts
Regulations," and there's a number for that. But
Bradley said this is false. Not only was his department
not notified of the event on Friday, September 9, as the
report states, he only learned of the incident when a
reporter from the Cape Cod Times contacted him on
Monday, September 12, three days later. Bradley had to
actually call Entergy to find out what was going on.

Now, many activists are very up in arms about this and consider this a public safety crisis, and there's been a great deal of criticism of Governor Baker for not calling for an immediate shutdown. Recently there was a sit-in at the State House. And you remember Diane Turco from the Cape Downwinders who addressed us at a former meeting. She and two other Cape Downwinders engaged in a sit-in and refused to leave until they could meet with Baker, but they were arrested, and now they have been banned from the State House where they had previously been permitted to lobby with legislators for the closing of this degraded plant.

Last Tuesday you may have seen there was a major call in to Governor Baker asking him to act to protect public safety. He has been mostly silent on the issue, finally issued a statement saying that the federal

1	government was handling this through the NRC. I have
2	Governor Baker's number. I can give it to you after the
3	meeting if you'd like to add your concerns as
4	individuals. And next Wednesday, the, as they are
5	called the Pilgrim State House 3 will be back in
6	Superior Court to appeal their stay away order.
7	So it wasn't a good summer, and it's just getting
8	worse.
9	MR. DELANEY: No, no. Wow, thank you for the
10	discouraging news. It does continue to get worse. It's
11	just a nonstop series of malfunctions and mismanagement
12	and maybe even misinformation.
13	MS. BURGESS: Yes.
14	MR. DELANEY: How much is it going to take to
15	finally have a major accident happen?
16	MR. NUENDEL: (Inaudible) happening, it might be a
17	bad major.
18	MR. DELANEY: Yeah, it may be that
19	MR. NUENDEL: Our governor should be on this like
20	white on rice. He really should.
21	MS. AVELLAR: It's almost criminal really and
22	borders on malfeasance in my opinion.
23	MS. BURGESS: So I don't know that there's anything
24	more we can do. We certainly added our letters to

1	proposals. Unless, Mr. Chairman, you have a suggestion.
2	MR. DELANEY: Well, can any members think of some
3	concrete action, as Maureen just said? We've written a
4	number of letters. They've been well received by our
5	colleagues, who noticed, made an impact.
6	MS. AVELLAR: Maybe we have to have our towns, each
7	town write to the Governor. I will be reporting to the
8	board of selectmen, and I'm going to recommend that they
9	write a letter telling them that it's not enough to rely
10	on the Nuclear Regulatory Commission because they've
11	been negligent for how long now? and that the health
12	and welfare of the citizens of Massachusetts, especially
13	this part of the world, are at stake.
14	MS. BURGESS: That's something that sounds good to
15	do. I can do that with my town.
16	MR. DELANEY: Yeah, let's thank you, Mary-Jo.
17	There's been a lot of letter writing, but I realize the
18	towns haven't actually weighed in.
19	MS. BURGESS: No, not much.
20	MR. DELANEY: Lilli?
21	MS. GREEN: Thank you.
22	I agree to do that. And perhaps if we were to
23	write a letter to Governor Baker stating what we just
24	stated and then we could bring that to our towns, it

1	might be helpful.
2	MR. DELANEY: Okay. Larry?
3	MR. SPAULDING: Isn't the last thing that we did is
4	to support Wolf's bill?
5	MS. AVELLAR: Yes.
6	MR. SPAULDING: So we haven't done anything since
7	this fiasco in four or five months.
8	MS. BURGESS: That's correct.
9	MR. SPAULDING: It seems to me it might be
10	appropriate for the Commission to write Governor Baker a
11	letter to revisit the situation because of the more
12	recent problems and for the state to get involved.
13	MR. DELANEY: Okay, now that I think about it.
14	Yeah, Don?
15	MR. NUENDEL: Maybe we should consider reminding
16	the Governor. Remember when we had that doctoral
17	student?
18	MS. BURGESS: Yes.
19	MR. NUENDEL: And he used a different technique.
20	It wasn't disaster. It was more economic disaster. And
21	remind the Governor how much money does the state get
22	from Cape Cod because of Cape Cod's activity and tourism
23	and all that. And remind him that, you know, if they
24	make a mistake and we're the Downwinders and this place

1	becomes uninhabitable for many years, do you want to
2	take that chance?
3	MR. DELANEY: Yeah, yeah. I think I like all
4	these comments.
5	MR. SPAULDING: Also, I assume that Governor Baker
6	has never seen that analysis that we had done.
7	MS. BURGESS: We could include the economic impact
8	statement with the letter. We could do a letter.
9	MR. DELANEY: Okay, so could Maureen, this goes
10	back to you. Could you take a first cut at this?
11	MS. BURGESS: Sure.
12	MR. DELANEY: Then we'll get a letter that states
13	or reiterates our serious our long-term concern,
14	points out that it is and I think the theme is an
15	economic disaster as well because that's what might ring
16	with this administration.
17	Don?
18	MR. NUENDEL: I might have something I could e-mail
19	you. Several years ago when this was, you know, up in
20	the news, I wrote a letter to the Cape Cod Times, and
21	basically I explained about what the Seashore did with
22	this doctoral student, and I gave the website where you
23	can go and read it. I'll e-mail you that letter. You
24	might be able to use some of that information.

1	MS. BURGESS: Fine.
2	MR. NUENDEL: So you don't have to reinvent the
3	wheel.
4	MS. BURGESS: Of course, I have the study, and the
5	study is on the Cape Cod National Seashore website under
6	the Advisory Commission.
7	MR. NUENDEL: Yeah, right.
8	MR. DELANEY: So two actions. You'll draft a
9	letter, but then we would ask, going back to Mary-Jo's
10	initial comment, that each of us take it to our home
11	boards of selectmen and see if they would be willing to
12	follow suit and voice their individual town objections
13	or concerns similar to or based on our letter.
14	Okay, Larry?
15	MR. SPAULDING: The only issue I have is somehow I
16	think as a commission, if it's going to be from the
17	Commission, we've got to see it before it goes out.
18	MR. DELANEY: Yes, okay, we can do that.
19	MR. SPAULDING: However you do it, whether you send
20	it around and we have a certain time to respond or we
21	take it up at our next meeting.
22	MS. BURGESS: Sure.
23	MR. SPAULDING: Not that you won't write a fine
24	letter because you've been working hard, but I just

1	think if it comes from the Commission, we need to see
2	it.
3	MR. DELANEY: Oh, I think we'd be happy to have
4	MS. BURGESS: Oh, absolutely.
5	MR. DELANEY: everyone react to it. It only
6	would strengthen it with these kinds of ideas.
7	MR. SPAULDING: I just thought we ought to be sure
8	that we do that, not just send the letter.
9	MR. DELANEY: A couple of past letters we expedited
10	just between us because of the timing. At least there's
11	no deadline on this. The sooner the better, but let's
12	so we'll draft Maureen will draft a letter. We'll
13	circulate it. We'll have the themes and the points that
14	we just articulated. Secondly, we would ask of our
15	committee to go back to our towns and report back at
16	least what we're doing and suggest maybe the town would
17	like to follow suit. And that's it.
18	George?
19	MR. PRICE: I think the towns weighing in is a
20	great idea. Without Sheila here, though, I wonder if
21	the county county commissioners have ever done a
22	similar letter.
23	MR. DELANEY: Yeah, well, Sheila's on her way. She
24	sent me a note she got delayed coming out of Boston. So

1	we can make sure we can revisit this when she's here.
2	She thought she'd be here by 2 o'clock.
3	MS. GREEN: Rich, I am now a member of the Assembly
4	of Delegates, which is their legislative branch, and I
5	can certainly bring this before the assembly.
6	MR. DELANEY: Thank you. Very good. Very good.
7	MS. AVELLAR: I'll tell you what else really burns
8	me, is people getting arrested for sitting in at the
9	State House. I mean, that's awful.
10	MS. BURGESS: That was a first.
11	MS. AVELLAR: That's awful.
12	MR. DELANEY: We have a big agenda, so we should
13	keep moving, but at this point I will I think it
14	would be worth a vote at this point that we authorize
15	our subcommittee chair, Maureen, to draft such a letter
16	and to commit us to taking it back to our towns to see
17	if we can get them to follow suit.
18	MS. GREEN: Second.
19	MR. DELANEY: Second. Any more discussion?
20	(No response.)
21	MR. DELANEY: All those in favor, signify by saying
22	aye.
23	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
24	MR. DELANEY: Those opposed?

1	(No response.)
2	MR. DELANEY: It's unanimous. Thank you.
3	MS. BURGESS: Thank you.
4	NICKERSON FELLOWSHIP
5	MR. DELANEY: Okay, moving to your second
6	subcommittee, the Nickerson Fellowship.
7	MS. BURGESS: Yes, I'll try to be brief, Mr.
8	Chairman, but I was going to spend a little more time on
9	it because we've had some developments.
10	So for the new folks, just by way of intro, the
11	Joshua A. Nickerson Conservation Fellowship is offered
12	in partnership by the Cape Cod National Seashore
13	Advisory Commission, the Atlantic Research and Learning
14	Center, the Cape Cod National Seashore, and the Friends
15	of the Cape Cod National Seashore. And it has provided
16	support to qualified individuals in the form of
17	financial fellowships since 1992. At least one
18	fellowship is awarded each year to individuals whose
19	work will contribute to our knowledge of the natural and
20	cultural resources within the Cape Cod National Seashore
21	and at the local communities in which they are found.
22	You may recall that I reported in the spring that
23	the committee, the Nickerson Fellowship Nickerson
24	Conservation Fellowship Committee awarded two

fellowships, the larger one to Owen Nichols from the

Center for Coastal Studies to examine horseshoe crab and

larval -- and shellfish larval flux in East Harbor. The

smaller award went to Derrick Alcott to continue his

studies of predator-prey interactions at manmade

obstacles, i.e. culverts, in the Herring River which

deplete the number of herring successfully reaching the

spawning pond.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So this year the committee thought that since Owen was required as part of his fellowship to do a presentation at the August 25 science symposium, that perhaps we should get Owen to speak a little bit about how the fellowship aided his research. And so Owen gave a great presentation, including his descriptions of the difficulties he encountered that he didn't expect in conducting his study, and then he gave a little pitch for the Nickerson Conservation Fellowship, and that was followed by Bruce Hurter from the Friends of the Cape Cod National Seashore doing a brief fundraising pitch and telling the audience that he would have a table set up outside should anyone wish to contribute. So I suggested that Bruce grab a basket and put it on the table, and one woman immediately plunked down \$200 into the basket. And on that day during the break in the

symposium, he made \$300.

So in researching the fellowship for the symposium and borrowing the book from Rich, I discovered that it began with Charles Foster, a former Advisory Commission chair, offering the royalties from his 1985 book, which is unfortunately no longer in print, called The Cape Cod National Seashore - A Landmark Alliance. He wished to honor Joshua A. Nickerson, also a member of the first commission in 1962 representing Barnstable County. Hank Foster, as he preferred to be called, raised funds from his friends to receive a matching grant, which I didn't know, from the Kelley Foundation, which I then found out is still in existence. And that gave me the idea that maybe we should be seeking more grants for the Nickerson Committee.

So now our fellowship committee has sort of a new spark of enthusiasm. And one of the donors at the science event, the science symposium who donated actually is a science grant writer. So the group plans to meet this fall to pursue some fundraising ideas as well as other means of reaching out to potential fellows. And I just wanted to give a shout-out and thanks to Chris Green and Dr. Sophia Fox for their help with the Nickerson and also for putting together a

1	really boffo science symposium on August 25. It was
2	really good.
3	MR. DELANEY: Good. Thank you very much.
4	MS. BURGESS: Now I'll shut up.
5	MR. DELANEY: Very good.
6	Superintendent?
7	MR. PRICE: Mr. Chair, I'm not sure, Maureen, if
8	you heard, but we also let the Friends know that we are
9	working with Eastern National. We're going to allocate
10	\$3,000 from the Eastern National donation account
11	towards the Nickerson donation project.
12	MS. BURGESS: No, no, that's great.
13	MR. DELANEY: Very good.
14	MR. PRICE: We're going to try to get an additional
15	student.
16	MS. BURGESS: Excellent. That's great.
17	MR. DELANEY: So there is some momentum.
18	MS. BURGESS: There's some momentum. Resurrected.
19	MR. DELANEY: Good news.
20	Okay, Mr. Superintendent, you have a long agenda
21	here.
22	MR. PRICE: Yes.
23	MR. DELANEY: And I know you have some

presentations, and I'm sure some people from the

24

audience will want to comment at an appropriate time. I will remind everybody that we do have an official public comment period at the end of the meeting where the public can raise any issues, can comment back on things that we've talked about during the course of this agenda, but I'll turn the floor over to you at this point.

MR. PRICE: Sure.

SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

MR. PRICE: And before I begin, I just wanted to go around and introduce some of the staff that we have here. Many of you are familiar with Lauren McKean and Courtney Butler who help with our Commission meetings. Today we also have special guest Bill Burke, who'll be returning a bit later, and Kathy Tevyaw, our deputy superintendent, but I did want to make special note of the first appearance here. Dr. Nita Tallent is our new chief of natural resources and science, and she's been here a couple of months. She basically came in I think right before or right around our previous spring meeting. So you'll be hearing from her and more from her staff as we move along with different presentations, so I just wanted to acknowledge her and let you know who she was.

UPDATE ON HORTON'S CAMPGROUND

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

PRIVATE COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES RELATED TO THEIR CSCs

MR. PRICE: The first topic on my report is about an update on Horton's Campground, and this has to do with our responsibility of commercial certificates of suspension from condemnation.

As you recall, in the spring there was a lot of dialogue and discussion on the landscaping that was done by the campground. You will recall that we revisited the fact that over the last several years the new campground owners came to the Commission and to the Park with this concept of coming up with a new septic system that was actually going to join the two campgrounds that the new owners now had responsibility for. Philosophically we thought that was a really good idea, and there was a lot of, I thought, positive feelings towards that, and including when I was asked by the town and others did we realize all the work that was going to happen on the road and that sort of thing, we thought that there was a lot of good things happening. Once we actually saw the landscaping start to take place on the property, from our perspective we assumed that they were checking with the town and that everybody was on board, although I did remind you all that previous

communication with the owners was once they were actually going to begin the work, but we did want them to close the loop with us so that we were on board with what was happening. That communication hadn't happened, but we still thought that we solved the connection there.

As we said at the last meeting, we found that that connection was not forthcoming with the town. So since that time we met with the owner representatives. We've had some dialogue back and forth. We had some requests and specifically talked to them about this meeting and about one more meeting before the calendar year is up. So just to remind you all, basically they are on an extension of their CSC that this body granted a while ago. It ends this calendar year, which kind of brings up the timeline of needing to try and get all on the same page.

I received a package and a letter to you all basically in response to the letter that Rich wrote in the spring after our deliberations. That was sent to their attorney. I also then wrote a letter to the attorney trying to place the context of what this plan was about and what he thought was happening. It's my understanding that since my conversation with the

1	attorney and with the town officials, that they had a
2	follow-up conversation on Thursday. I'm not fully up on
3	what that meeting was. I know Lauren participated. We
4	just haven't had a chance to speak. So we specifically
5	invited their attorney, Don Nagle, to address this group
6	today.
7	I think before I make any more comments I would
8	like to introduce you again to Attorney Don Nagle, and
9	if you'd like to address our group, here's the Advisory
10	Commission.
11	MR. NAGLE: Thank you, George.
12	MR. DELANEY: Welcome. You've heard the
13	introductions to these people, so you know that we
14	represent all the various towns and the county and the
15	Governor, and I represent the Secretary of the
16	Department of Interior. So that's some background on
17	us. And we appreciate you coming, and we'd ask you to
18	be succinct and make two or three comments to help us
19	think about this issue a little bit more clearly.
20	MR. NAGLE: Right. Thank you.
21	Good afternoon. Good soggy afternoon,
22	Commissioners. Thank you for allowing me time on the
23	agenda to address you. My name is Donald Nagle, and I
24	represent Adventure Bound Campgrounds, the owner of

Horton's Campground. And what I've been asked to do is to give you an update on what activities Horton's has been doing to address compliance with local zoning requirements that were raised by the onset of the installation of components of the wastewater treatment plant that Superintendent Price had alluded to.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Just a quick background on that and reminder from previous reports to this -- to this commission that ABC, my client, owns only two campgrounds in Truro, the North Truro Camping Area and Horton's Campground. accordance with Mass. Department of Environmental Protection requirements, we engaged in discussions with the DEP for installation of a treatment plant that really covers both parks, and we worked with the county to get an easement down Highland Road and South Highland Road for a pipe to connect the two parks. So where the controversy came up was when we began installing components of the treatment plant. Clearly there was a misstep in failing to adequately communicate with the Seashore, with this body, and with the town as to what exactly we were doing to install the plant, what was entailed in doing so, and how we were going to do it, and how it complies or not with local zoning. So certainly -- so what happened as a result of the work

that was done that's depicted on the easel over there was a stop work order from the zoning enforcement officer in the Town of Truro, and that enforcement order basically says comply with our local zoning bylaws for this work. We recognize that this is work we need to do, but comply with our local zoning bylaws by submitting a site plan review application and to apply for any necessary special permits that would be required by the planning board as a result of the review of the site plan review application.

So there are two major components, as I view it, to the site plan review application. The zoning bylaws are extensive and very detailed as to what's required, but obviously you can see the amount of clearing is extensive. And I'll tell you right now, that was a mistake. We had no -- we didn't realize that that clearing would be so extensive, and we want to address it. So the first big piece to the site plan review application is a restoration plan, a draft of which is right above those pictures. That restoration plan is prepared by Wilkinson Ecological from Orleans down here on the Cape, and we ran that by the town planner. In fact, we've had two what I'd call pre-application meetings with the town planner and other town officials.

One was on May 25, and the more recent one was just last Thursday, as Superintendent Price indicated. And those meetings were very helpful, provided some really good input as to making sure that we're on the right track to address this issue.

The second meeting last Thursday, we presented two pieces, as I said. One, which we feel is a very important piece obviously given the work that had been done, is a restoration plan to ensure that the area is restored consistent with local vegetation and endangered species that are mapped in this area. And a draft plan was presented to the town planners and others last Thursday. We got some really good comments, and we're going back to the drawing board on that and expect to produce a final restoration plan that will be submitted to the planning board by late October, early November at this point because of the work that we know we have ahead of us to present a solid plan to restore the area that was disturbed.

The other piece of it is the actual site plan, the overall site plan for the campground planned installation of the components of the treatment plant itself and related utilities, including water and electric. So that plan, the surveying was all done for

1 that. We have it mapped out on a plan, and that was 2 also presented to the town planner last Thursday. 3 So that's really where we are. The restoration plan was submitted in anticipation of this meeting. 4 We did submit the restoration plan to this commission, 5 which you all have copies of. I don't have my Wilkinson 6 7 team with me today, but any questions that could be handled by a layperson on this technical issue, I'd be 8 9 happy to entertain. But that's really where we are. 10 We understand -- Superintendent Price and I had a 11 conversation following up his letter to me last week to 12 reinforce the idea that really what we need to do in anticipation of the CSC renewal for December is that we 13 need to demonstrate to this -- to the Seashore, to this 14 15 commission that we are indeed complying with local 16 zoning requirements. So those steps that I just described are steps that we are taking to ensure 17 18 compliance with those local requirements and to demonstrate compliance with your -- with your strictures 19 20 of a basis for the waiver of the condemnation. 21 MR. DELANEY: Okay, thank you. 22 Comments or questions to Attorney Nagle? 23 Larry? 24 MR. SPAULDING: How many units were there before

1	for camping spaces, and how many will there be when
2	you're done?
3	MR. NAGLE: Two eighteen, which is the answer to
4	both questions. We are issued annually a license from
5	the town board of health for two hundred and eighteen.
6	MR. SPAULDING: So you're not extending the number
7	of camping spots?
8	MR. NAGLE: Correct, correct.
9	MR. DELANEY: George?
10	MR. PRICE: Mr. Chair, just for information,
11	remember the landscape clear-cutting was one topic. The
12	other topic is that they have now that the reason for
13	the extensive landscaping, they told us, is because they
14	have outfitted each individual site with sewer, water,
15	electrical, and cable. So we're now on to a whole
16	intensity of use issue, as I understand it.
17	The other thing that I just wanted to clarify
18	and Attorney Nagle is right when he talked about our
19	conversation is that the way that this type of
20	approval works is that we're we and you all are
21	looking at these approvals after the town's approval.
22	And I have met we've met with the town, and again, we
23	clarified that because I got questions of what's the
24	Park going to do. But basically according to the

language in our legislation, basically he's right, that it's basically uphold the town zoning and certificates, and then we would get it to decide if it will work for the Seashore and for the Commission or not. why it's important that this town weigh in. It's verv important, and I had a recent conversation with the town manager in Truro just to restate that as well. MR. DELANEY: If I could maybe just add to that and

MR. DELANEY: If I could maybe just add to that and then I'll go back to questions. You probably could hear in the letter that we sent to you initially that this commission feels it's responsible for that mission of protecting the natural resources of Cape Cod National Seashore (indicates), and this extent of damage was alarming to us. So it wasn't -- we didn't see this. We appreciate your acknowledging mistakes or whatever, but it didn't seem to be just a matter of miscommunication or mistiming. It was really a major, major impact that alarmed this group, and we still are anxious to hear more eventually.

We have a lot of questions, so I'm going to restrict my comments for the time and go to Mary-Jo.

MS. AVELLAR: Well, my first comment is that on this plan I only see 200 campsites, not 218. There's 200, 200A, 200B, and 200C. And I support the

1	Commission, what the chairman said about all this clear-
2	cutting that was done out there. It was totally not
3	I quess not appreciated would be the kindest way to put
4	it.
5	But where the building commissioner, the zoning
6	enforcement officer, from Truro is here, is there any
7	way we can hear from Mr. Braun about what's going on in
8	Truro with this application?
9	MR. DELANEY: Sure, sure.
10	MS. AVELLAR: If he wants to.
11	MR. DELANEY: Yes.
12	MR. BRAUN: I'm happy to add a few comments. I'm
13	Russ Braun. I'm the town building official.
14	As Superintendent Price added, there had been water
15	not only wastewater, which we were aware of in town
16	that that was happening, but water service, electrical,
17	and cable data that was also in the process of being
18	installed. So that sort of like made us think that
19	there is a new paradigm here for this this area, and,
20	you know, we needed to hear about it.
21	Now, surprisingly, campgrounds are not an allowed
22	use in the Town of Truro. Who knew? It's not on our
23	use table. So the three campgrounds that we do have are
24	all existed prior to zoning. They're pre-existing

1	nonconforming uses. In our zoning bylaw under the
2	Seashore District, any change or alteration of a pre-
3	existing nonconforming use needs to have a special
4	permit. So not only are we going not only is it the
5	site plan review that the campground needs to go
6	through, but it also requires a visit to the zoning
7	board of appeals to determine whether or not whatever
8	use or alteration is being proposed is acceptable.
9	First of all, they have to find that, yes, it is an
10	alteration of a pre-existing nonconforming use, and then
11	they have to decide whether or not this merits a special
12	permit. And so it's not just an approval of a site
13	plan. It's also approval of an alteration of the use.
14	So there are a number of things that need to happen.
15	But again, so it was site plan review. It was the use
16	issue that was really you know, that was the first
17	thing you come to in the bylaw, and so we're debating
18	that or the town boards are going to be debating that as
19	well.
20	MR. DELANEY: Thank you. That's very helpful.
21	Joe?
22	MR. CRAIG: Again, do you look at this as a change
23	of use or an intensification of a pre-existing use?
24	MR. BRAUN: I don't look at it as anything it's

1	really for the ZBA to make that determination. It was
2	clearly an alteration. You know, even if you just take
3	a look at the fact that the land was cleared, that is an
4	alteration of that use, and the fact that there were all
5	of these utilities being installed, that was an
6	alteration of the use. So from my standpoint as
7	enforcement officer, it was sufficient to put the stop
8	work order on. Also, the other chunk of the zoning
9	which has to do with site plan review, which on any
10	commercial property, you know, you move a bucket of
11	earth and cut down a tree, that requires site plan
12	review on commercial properties. So there were these
13	two areas of zoning that I was looking at that were
14	sufficient enough to issue a stop work order.
15	And so at this point it's a matter of the property
16	owner or the tenant and the various town boards to get
17	together and hash it all out.
18	MR. CRAIG: You said you had no use there's no
19	legal use as a campground unless it's pre-existing?
20	MR. BRAUN: Yes.
21	MR. CRAIG: What about a mobile home park? I'd
22	like you to differentiate between the two.
23	MR. BRAUN: You know, that is a I don't know
24	whether you can even call it philosophical, but I think

1 a lot of it is -- and it's going to be, I think, a 2 pretty interesting conversation. What is camping now? 3 And I can't answer that. But, you know, is an RV park camping? Clearly that is what the direction of this 4 plan -- from anybody who is looking clearly at the plans 5 6 that have been presented, is that camping? Or is 7 tenting -- you know, tent camping, is that camping? And I don't have the answers to that, and that is what has 8 9 to be hashed out, you know, with the zoning board of 10 appeals because there is probably some concern there. 11 And for a question there as to, you know, what is this 12 place now or what is it going to be, you know, again, it's -- I think that everybody kind of needs to weigh 13 Unfortunately, I don't have the answer to that. 14 in. 15 MR. DELANEY: Don? 16 MR. NUENDEL: Yeah, just one quick comment, and I 17 think we discussed this when this first came to light, 18 was the amount of trees that seemed to disappear. assume a lot of those trees were fairly mature trees. 19 20 So I don't know if they have a magic wand, but I don't 21 know if we're ever going to get back to where it was. 22 And I was just wondering, what kind of general plan 23 they're having, or is that still too far out for them to think -- to think about? 24

1 MR. NAGLE: Yeah, that's a very good question, and 2 I'm in discussions with -- and that's why we hired 3 Wilkinson Ecological, because they're experts on that 4 and, you know, they're the ones to tell us what's the best plan to get this property back to the status quo. 5 And I did -- you know, part of that discussion occurred 6 7 at the pre-application meeting last Thursday, and I know Wilkinson did say that, you know, if they had to bet 8 between an oak seed and a 10-gallon barrel with an oak 9 tree that beg which is going to survive, they would vote 10 for the acorn. And, you know, that tells me, geez, 11 12 that's a lot of patience to wait for the property to look like it did prior to this admittedly extensive 13 14 clearing that was not certainly intended by us, but 15 that's what happened, and we're having to deal with it 16 now. And we're motivated to deal with it in the most 17 responsible way we can. 18 MR. DELANEY: Stay within the committee for another minute. 19 Joe? 20 MR. CRAIG: Well, it's clearly not a restoration 21 project from what I can see from the pictures, but 22 it's a new set of plans to comply with a new use. 23 restore what was there is not what Wilkinson is doing. Wilkinson is now coming up with a landscape plan for a 24

it a restoration project is not correct. (Ms. Lyons enters the room.) In fact, there was a comment made MR. NAGLE: during the meeting on Thursday which was something to the effect of "Well, there's a lot of white in there. There's a lot of -- you know, where's the green? Where's the restoration?" Well, one of the things that that plan does not depict is the canopy that would

mobile home park. That's not what was there, so calling

result from the proposed planting, and so we're going back to the drawing board on that to do two things.

Really there are two issues. One is to try to depict as accurately as we can the pre-existing conditions, and we have aerial -- you know, what do we have? We have aerial photographs. We have -- let's call it anecdotal photographs of what the place looked like, some of which we can get from campers themselves, but unlike the existing condition plan where we had a surveyor go out and survey exactly what was there, we don't have that luxury to depict in that level of exactitude what was pre-existing.

But your point taken is that we want to restore what was there, but keep in mind there is a pre-existing use there, and that is a campground. And by the way,

the original name of Horton's was Horton's Mobile Home

Park, which goes to your question about whether this is

a mobile home park or is it a campground or what it is.

RVs have been going to Horton's since the '40s.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So we are struggling with how to upgrade, maintain, and enhance the camping experience. As Russ alluded to, what the camping experience is in 2016 may be different than what it was back in the '40s, but we're struggling with that. It's a hard question. So it's a line between restoring what was damaged, allowing my client to upgrade the facility in a manner that not only complies with state environmental law, which is what got this all going to begin with, and that is that my client did agree to upgrade the -- there were pre-existing RVs, there was pre-existing septic, pre-existing water and electric. Were they to all the -- were they to all the sites? No, they weren't. Do we propose to have that available to all the sites? Yes, we do. Will every -each and every site be used for RV? No, based on -- at least based on past experience with the people who go there who want to use it for primitive camping or RV camping or something in between.

So we're trying to manage these different pressures being put upon my client; one, you know, a state order

1 to put in a treatment plant to protect the groundwater, 2 which this commission has supported in the past, rightly 3 so, comply with local zoning, and to allow Horton's to run a successful campground business. 4 So my experience in all this -- and I have been 5 before this commission at least once or I think twice 6 7 over the past few years -- is communication. And we 8 want to communicate with you. We want to let you know 9 what we're doing, and we have a big deficit to make up with regard to this commission and the town with regard 10 11 to communication about what we intend to do, getting a 12 better understanding of what the local bylaws require to accomplish it, and to go through the right channels to 13 14 do that. So needless to say, my client has kind of got 15 his utmost attention on this project to get us back to status quo and to go forward because the state's order 16 is not just to install sewer at Horton's but also North 17 18 Truro Camping Area down the street. 19 MR. DELANEY: Quick comment from Larry, and then 20 we'll go to --21 MR. SPAULDING: This is for Attorney Nagle. 22 The 218 sites, were they all suited for RVs or were 23 some more primitive camping sites?

The latter.

MR. NAGLE:

24

1	MR. SPAULDING: And then my next question is, the
2	218 sites that are here, will they all be suited for
3	RVs?
4	MR. NAGLE: Yes, I believe they are. I believe
5	they are. Again, this is a campground that has been in
6	place for decades and decades. For the first time
7	really, we're looking at, okay, where is this where
8	is Campsite A, where is Campsite B or 1 and 2 up to 218,
9	and exactly where do they sit on a survey engineer plan,
10	and what can fit there? So this is part of what we're
11	going through right now, and I must say it was a
12	challenge to that plan was based on a survey plan.
13	We had to make sure that all of the campsites actually
14	fit into the legal boundaries of the property. And as
15	you'll see from the plan, there have been excursions
16	over time, and those excursions were in place for
17	decades. We have to readjust what was there in order to
18	make a logical plan and also so we're not encroaching
19	upon surrounding property, owner property.
20	MR. DELANEY: One more follow-up from Larry.
21	MR. SPAULDING: One final question for Attorney
22	Nagle.
23	Have you taken a position with your client relative
24	to whether what you're planning on doing would

1	require a special permit as an expansion of a pre-
2	existing nonconforming use or whether you feel that you
3	can do it as a matter of right?
4	MR. NAGLE: I think that is that's the question.
5	That's the \$64,000 question. And the other question is,
6	if it does if zoning relief is required that is a
7	special permit from the ZBA, will the ZBA grant it? And
8	if they don't, what is Horton's to do? So that's a
9	as Russ suggested, we're going to be working through
10	those issues as we go from you know, chronologically
11	from the site from the planning board and then to the
12	zoning board of appeals, but we're basically looking to
13	the planning board to give us direction as to what the
14	position is on that question.
15	MR. DELANEY: Mark?
16	MR. ROBINSON: I'm sorry I wasn't here when this
17	was previously discussed. Am I seeing that this is the
18	property line that goes around the perimeter here and
19	there at these excursions, as you call them, across the
20	property line? Is that recent cutting or is that older
21	cutting?
22	MR. NAGLE: Well, some of it is recent cutting, to
23	be honest. Older parts
24	MR. ROBINSON: Who are the property owners on the

1	perimeter?
2	MR. NAGLE: The Cape Cod National Seashore.
3	MR. ROBINSON: So private encroachments onto
4	federal property, not just the abutters. It's the
5	National Seashore.
6	MR. NAGLE: That's absolutely right.
7	MR. ROBINSON: I just wanted to make sure I
8	understood that.
9	Are these lines bounded on the ground well enough
10	so that contractors can figure out where those lines
11	are?
12	MR. NAGLE: Yeah, new bounds were Outermost
13	Surveying did all the surveying. They put new monuments
14	in where they thought that they were needed. But keep
15	in mind the extent of cutting versus the extent of
16	incursion by campsites is a little above, and that's
17	been again, this is the first time we've looked at
18	this from a technical surveying and engineering point of
19	view and found that we're not quite in the footprint we
20	should be in.
21	MR. ROBINSON: I would suggest that the committee
22	or the staff or somebody ask for some changes on the
23	site plan or this restoration plan to show the names of
24	abutters, which is the standard practice for survey

plans, to indicate where bounds will be placed like on the -- unless there's another survey plan that I don't have.

MR. NAGLE: There is.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. ROBINSON: Well, I think that this plan should mimic that because this is often the only plan the guys going out to do the work ever look at. There's no name of the owner on this plan. It just says Horton's Campground. I'm sure that's not the legal name of the This is no longer a mom and pop operation. entity. It's owned by a big corporation, as you know, and it's my understanding the certificate for the suspension of condemnation implies that these commercial properties are here as a privilege, not a right. And so part of that privilege is making sure that the National Seashore staff, this body as well as the towns are pre-consulted. I know you're the messenger, but this is a -- and I applaud -- I applaud that there is camping going on at the Seashore. We need more of it. I applaud that they want to upgrade the septic so that there is less ground pollution, but those in my mind don't offset the privilege it is to run a commercial business at a federal park.

MR. DELANEY: Thanks, Mark.

1 Just going around the room, Mary-Jo is waiting. 2 MS. AVELLAR: Well, I'm still trying to find the 3 218. I've only got 200. 4 MR. ROBINSON: It's A, B, and C (indicates). 5 MS. AVELLAR: Oh, the A, Bs, and Cs? Is that how 6 you get the --7 MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh. MS. AVELLAR: And I don't really care if they're in 8 RVs or in tents. What I care about is that the Town of 9 10 Truro is satisfied that -- and I think some were kind of 11 jumping into areas that rightfully belong to the Truro 12 Zoning Board of Appeals and the Planning Board, but it's the clear-cutting that's really burning me up. And as 13 Mark has pointed out, we don't know who's here except 14 15 for what is shown on the map. So I'm not particularly 16 interested whether they're in campers or they're in tents. I wouldn't go in either one of them myself, but 17 18 the Town of Truro is my concern, that the Town of Truro is satisfied that what you do is in accordance with 19 20 their rules and regulations. And I'm concerned as well 21 that the time is getting very short. We're almost in 22 October now, and we're talking about our condemnation 23 certificate running out at the end of the year. So I'm trying to figure out how you're going to get all this 24

3 may. MR. DELANEY: Yeah. We have a lot more to go, so be quick. 5 6 MR. NAGLE: We're adamant that we're going to 7 restore the areas that were destroyed, and we're not 8 going to use that contractor again. And we are going to 9 work as closely as we can with the town to ensure that 10 they are happy with our final proposed plan. It is a 11 draft plan. We were anxious to get it to you before 12 this meeting so you had a chance to look at it. It is It will require modifications. We got really 13 14 good comments last Thursday, and we're working to come 15 up with a first-class plan. 16 And then lastly, regarding the timing for the December meeting, our goal is to get the applications 17 18 into the planning board, as I said, late October, early November, and so what will likely be the case at the 19 20 December 12, I believe, meeting is that there will be a 21 pending -- a hearing will be pending before the planning 22 board at that time. 23 MS. AVELLAR: There's the 40-day appeal period, and 24 that kind of stops the whole -- you're really pushing

MR. NAGLE: Just to quickly respond to that, if I

1

2

done.

1	it.
2	MR. NAGLE: I'm sorry?
3	MS. AVELLAR: You have appeal periods after that
4	too, so you're really kind of pushing it, you know,
5	based on what these boards
6	MR. NAGLE: I expect there will be several
7	meetings, several continued hearings, and we may be
8	moving on to the ZBA after that. So there is a time
9	issue.
10	MR. DELANEY: I'm going to recognize Joe and then
11	Larry and then go outside to and Maureen, and then
12	I'm going to ask Lauren to comment.
13	So Joe?
14	MR. CRAIG: You know that when you go before the
15	ZBA, the fact that your client is under pressure,
16	they're going to recognize that it's self-imposed and
17	they're not going to buy that argument.
18	But next, a question on these campsites. Are they
19	going to be leased out on a long-term basis, the
20	individual campsites?
21	MR. NAGLE: It's a seasonal campground.
22	MR. CRAIG: It's a seasonal.
23	MR. NAGLE: Seasonal.
24	MR. CRAIG: So there are no long-term leases

1	involved?
2	MR. NAGLE: Correct.
3	MR. DELANEY: Larry?
4	MR. SPAULDING: Mark raised a good point, and I'd
5	just ask it from the extent you can tell me. There's no
6	question that there was no cutting on land owned by the
7	Seashore? There's no question that all this cutting is
8	within the bounds of ownership of your client and not
9	out into Seashore property?
10	MS. BURGESS: It is in the Seashore.
11	MR. DELANEY: It is.
12	MR. NAGLE: I think that there is some cutting
13	MR. ROBINSON: That was a question of both, inside
14	and outside.
15	MS. BURGESS: Yeah, it's in and out.
16	MS. AVELLAR: In and out.
17	MR. SPAULDING: But do we have something that tells
18	us exactly where on the Seashore land that they cut?
19	MR. DELANEY: Lauren can tell.
20	MS. McKEAN: Yeah. If you see these hatched areas
21	here (indicates)?
22	MR. SPAULDING: Uh-huh.
23	MS. McKEAN: So where they're proposing some kind
24	of restoration. And I guess a trailer site over here

1	(indicates).
2	MR. SPAULDING: I was just raising that for
3	tonight, but, Lauren, at some point what's on our
4	property, the town doesn't really they may care about
5	it in terms of their own permits, but we ought to care
6	about it, what they're doing, that we're satisfied with
7	what they're doing, and that has to be reviewed, I
8	think.
9	MS. McKEAN: Yes.
10	MR. DELANEY: Yes, that's our mission.
11	MR. PRICE: Frankly, we were made aware that some
12	of the cutting was definitely on United States property,
13	and we're currently investigating, as we would any other
14	private property owner, that clear-cuts on federal
15	property. So Lauren, frankly, is setting up that
16	investigation with our law enforcement rangers.
17	MR. DELANEY: Thank you.
18	Maureen?
19	MS. BURGESS: Just a couple of things, Mr.
20	Chairman. Following up on Mary-Jo's comment, I think
21	there is a very big difference between primitive camping
22	and RV camping, and we've also been made aware that the
23	connections were made for electrical and sewer and cable
24	TV. And that's a very different experience from

1 primitive camping, which was the original use.

One big concern of mine that I brought up at the last meeting was that, yes, I'm very gratified also that there's thought of a restoration plan, but that's sort of like beating somebody up and then sending him an I'm sorry card afterwards. Because we had a horrible drought this summer, and that degree of clear-cutting really took away the loam and the soil from the feeder roots of trees that were not removed but were impacted. So we may have not yet seen the full extent of the damage to the vegetation.

The other point I wanted to make, because I think this is a question of changing use, and I will quote — as the representative from Truro, I will just refer to our zoning bylaw briefly. What I've observed at the other Adventure Bound Campground is that many of those sites have — which I understand are rented for the season — have constructed permanent structures around them. I've seen one that has a screen house attached. I've seen decks. It's like a little city. You know, if that's where we're going, I think that that definitely is, from the town's point of view, a change in use and, from the Seashore's point of view, a change in what was the spirit of the law of the Act of August 7, 1961.

Our zoning bylaw -- just quickly for the benefit of the commissioners, the zoning bylaw from Truro, Section 30.3: (Reading) Seashore District purpose. The Seashore District is intended to further preservation and development of the Cape Cod National Seashore in accordance with the purpose of the acts of Congress of August 7, 1961, to prohibit commercial and industrial uses therein, to preserve the increase -- and increase the amenities of the town and to conserve natural conditions, wildlife, and open spaces for the education, recreation, and general welfare of the public (end reading). So that's the purpose.

One of the -- Section 12 under that 30.3 states:

(Reading) Lawfully pre-existing nonconforming

commercial uses and structures may continue but in no

case shall the use be altered or converted to another

commercial use (end reading). And I think that's what

we're all kind of struggling with here. It looks like

it's going in a different direction.

And under Section 70.1 under site plan review, Note D, site alteration, violation of the bylaw: (Reading)
No building permit, site clearing, filling, grading,
materials delivery, or construction shall be initiated
on any site to which this section applies until the

1 required commercial or residential site plan approval is 2 obtained from the planning board (end reading). 3 So unfortunately, you're -- if it's the general contractor that I think it is, you unfortunately made a 4 bad choice of contractors because this particular 5 contractor has violated clearing in private property 6 7 situations where he shouldn't have, but beyond that I just -- I'm just really concerned that we're stepping in 8 9 -- we're almost -- I appreciate the restoration plan, but we're moving in a direction that will enable in a 10 sense this new use, and I don't think we're clear that 11 12 this is the direction we want to go in in case that we 13 are dealing with more permanent structures that will 14 provide almost year-round housing, affordable housing 15 for people. 16 So that's just my comments. 17 MR. DELANEY: Okay, thank you. 18 Lauren, would you like to offer a couple comments on what your activities have been so far in dealing with 19 20 this? 21 I did attend their meeting on MS. McKEAN: Sure. 22 Thursday afternoon, and they were looking for a more 23 robust plan, as Attorney Nagle said. They do want to see that pre-existing condition from last spring before 24

the activities happened last spring. So the idea would
be there would be more litigation that will be requested
of the applicant. I think that's fair to say.

I would also say that given the site plan review is now going to be later in October and into November even and the zoning board of appeals hearing has already been requested to be postponed until December, you won't be able to fully deliberate at the November meeting. So I just think you should know that now.

MR. DELANEY: Okay, all right.

Anything else from the commissioners? Mark?

MR. ROBINSON: I was struck by Attorney Nagle's point that Wilkinson said that acorns are more likely to survive than real trees, saplings. That may be very true, well and good from a biological standpoint, but in terms of restoration, that's not the issue. The issue is getting it back to what it was as much as possible. So we're not going to put in 50-foot trees. We're not going to put in acorns either. We're going to -- we. I say we. I mean, as a citizen of the United States, I want my trees back on my property the way they were. So at least on the federal property, it seems to me that we do have some say over it. Acorns are not going to be planted. They should be sizable trees, 5, 10 feet, 12

1 feet, that are subject to a three-year monitoring 2 program and replaced as necessary so that we can have 3 the restoration accelerated. And it will be more expensive to do it that way. Not our problem. 5 MR. DELANEY: Thank you. 6 Larry? 7 MR. SPAULDING: I have a question for Lauren. Lauren, if we can't decide this and the suspension 8 9 is about to expire, if it does expire, can we give it 10 back to them or is the law that once it expires we can't give it to them? Because I don't really want it to 11 12 We're trying to solve this problem, and if there's no time for us to actually increase their time 13 14 for another six months or nine months, I'm in favor of 15 doing that because it's not going to be the end of the 16 world. Maybe that's something we should do at this meeting, or if we know we're going to have a meeting, we 17 18 can do it at the next meeting, but I don't want them to lose it if they're really trying to fix the problem and 19 20 deal with the communities. 21 The last time you extended it, you MS. McKEAN: 22 extended it for three years instead of the usual five 23 for commercial properties because wastewater treatment

-- not wastewater collection but a wastewater treatment

24

1	collective system was needed. And I do know that you
2	have had them expire and then they have not been
3	reinstated because it wasn't that same use. We do have
4	a question here of: Is this an alteration of use, or is
5	it a change of use? You can't change a commercial use
6	within the Seashore. So there's an alteration of use
7	question here, and that's the zoning board of appeals.
8	That's December.
9	MR. DELANEY: Lilli?
10	MS. GREEN: I have a comment, a question for Lauren
11	and also for the attorney.
12	So, Lauren, are you saying that we could extend it?
13	Could we also put the condition on it that the stop
14	order still remains in place while we extend it?
15	MS. McKEAN: I think you could probably in addition
16	to
17	MR. PRICE: I'm sorry. Say that again.
18	MS. GREEN: If we extend it, that we extend it with
19	the condition that there's still a stop order on any
20	construction until it's resolved?
21	MR. PRICE: Well, that's the responsibility of the
22	town, but I fully expect and I would recommend, Mr.
23	Chair that what Larry was saying is probably an
24	option, and that is to go for an extension whether we

did it now or at the December meeting. The December
meeting you'll have a better idea.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And also I would remind you that if -- so I said our first benchmark here is how they comply with the town, and then, frankly, if it comes back to the town -say the town agreed to have a year-round mobile home park, well, we might still decide that's not what is the best for the Seashore, and this commission still could recommend not to issue the CSC. Don't forget. You all are advisory to the superintendent, and the Park Service could still not proceed with the CSC. So we still have a number of options out there, but I'm assuming where we are -- and you're absolutely right. It's a self-imposed issue, although even just the wastewater plant was a long time, which is why we gave them three years -- that you all are going to come up with some sort of extension. Then we'll find out. What Lauren was saying We'll have to make or determine with the town was true. if this is a change or not and then if this body and the Park Service decides if they should continue to have a CSC or not.

MR. DELANEY: Second question, Lilli?

MS. GREEN: Yeah. The other thing is as well that it seems to me that we're dealing with two separate

1	issues. One is with the property within the bounds of
2	Horton's Campground and also what's outside of that
3	bounds, what's in the National Seashore. In my mind
4	they're two separate issues, and we may decide that
5	there are two separate solutions to those and two
6	separate actions that we might recommend as a commission
7	to be taken.
8	Am I correct in that assumption?
9	MS. McKEAN: Generally we would have our ranger
10	division handle the encroachment issue, and so we
11	already have a ranger who's been assigned to this
12	project and we'll be conferring.
13	I'm not sure on that one, George.
14	MR. PRICE: Yeah, I'm not sure that needs Advisory
15	Commission because we will exercise our responsibility
16	on that end.
17	MS. GREEN: So you will work with Attorney Nagle on
18	
19	MR. PRICE: Yeah, we're going to investigate what
20	damage has happened on the United States property and
21	act accordingly.
22	MS. GREEN: And act accordingly.
23	MS. AVELLAR: We already know what's happened.
24	MS. GREEN: Can you share with us what that means?

1	MR. PRICE: Typically in the past, such examples,
2	the property owner has been fined and maybe there's been
3	restitution involved as well.
4	MR. DELANEY: Okay, thanks.
5	Larry?
6	MR. SPAULDING: My inclination
7	MR. DELANEY: Oh, I'm sorry. Lilli had a second
8	question for the attorney.
9	MS. GREEN: So I know that it's standard procedure
10	to call this a restoration project and to put
11	restoration all over this, but in looking at the
12	photographs and then even just driving by to Payomet in
13	Truro, I have to say that the clear-cutting was
14	shocking. And to call it restoration I think is really
15	a misnomer, and I don't see how you can restore not only
16	the trees but also the groundcover and some of the
17	sensitive visual impacts in the it just blended into
18	the environment before this was clear-cut, so I think
19	I'd like to just register my my concern over the fact
20	that it's being just glossed over as if it's going to be
21	planting a few little trees or the landscaping is going
22	to restore this area because in my mind it's been
23	destroyed.
24	Thank you.

1	MR. DELANEY: Larry?
2	MR. SPAULDING: My inclination is to see if they
3	can work this out with no agreement on our part and
4	extend it for one year, if we can do that, because I'd
5	hate to see them lose the certificate if in good faith
6	they're trying to solve these problems to the
7	satisfaction of the town and maybe eventually to the
8	Seashore. I agree with George. The fact that the town
9	approves it we wouldn't approve it if the town didn't
10	approve it. If the town does approve it, it doesn't
11	mean we have to approve it based on the standards. If
12	we can extend it for one year from the deadline, which I
13	guess is the end of this year, I'm inclined to do that.
14	MR. DELANEY: We'll know more in the next meeting.
15	MR. SPAULDING: My only concern is if we don't have
16	a quorum at the next meeting that we can't extend it.
17	MR. NUENDEL: We'll put it on the agenda. That's
18	all.
19	MR. DELANEY: We'll have it there will be time
20	there will be a key time and a point where we will
21	hopefully know a lot more. We've raised before I
22	conclude, anything else from any commissioner?
23	George, do you want to say anything else?
24	MR. PRICE: I just want to make a couple of

comments, and Larry just said if they're going to work in good faith this next year. I find it hard to use that statement after what we've just gone through, and I personally feel hoodwinked, was the term that I gave to Attorney Nagle the first time we met on this, because we did feel we were working on good faith. We had met with them. We had worked with this body in getting an extension because it obviously made sense that a combined wastewater system made a lot of sense. And given the amount of time and working with them and everything I think was -- we all were doing thinking we were on the right path.

If you recall, we've had numerous campgrounds in the Seashore boundary. People ask me all the time, "Why don't you have an NPS campground?" Well, because part of the deal was when the Seashore came into the Cape there were already private campgrounds, whether it was the North of Truro Camping Area, whether it was these two, whether it was the one in Provincetown and some others. And therefore, the federal government was not going to set up a competition business in campgrounds because they were already here. You know that we went through all that effort with North of Highland Camping Area with securing that conservation easement over that

80 acres -- excuse me -- 80 acres, that's another one -the 57 acres, I think it was. And the whole idea was to
try to keep that as a business, as a viable business and
not have them go belly up and not return to private
development because, as again -- I was here for the 50th
anniversary of the Seashore -- we're looking at the next
50 years and having a camping experience.

Mary-Jo, even though you and my wife will never get in a tent again, we think that it's an important opportunity for visitors into the future either because of the affordability or because of the primitive camping nature.

Now, North Camping Area and this area, as Attorney Nagle said, has always had campers, now called RVs. So it's not an exclusion of that. The concern that I have is 50 years from now what will this look like? We all know what it used to look like, and it was a mix of some equivalent of RVs and primitive camping, but if this does get changed in use and it gets turned into virtually a year-round mobile home park, that is a substantial change of use. The hard thing to define with the establishment of the Seashore was to keep the Cape Cod character, and what's the future of this going to look like that has anything to do with the Cape Cod

1 character or what the objectives were for the Seashore? 2 So those are the things that I'm concerned about. 3 And yes, we want to work with Attorney Nagle and the client at this point forward, but I'm committed to work 5 with the town. Mary-Jo, you were talking about wanting the town to 6 7 be satisfied. I agree with you that that's the number one piece here, and then we'll continue to see where we 8 9 go from there. 10 MR. DELANEY: Okay, so we have voiced a number of 11 concerns here today. I think George just summarized 12 them nicely. I will just add to that that I sense a very, very serious concern about the apparent change in 13 use direction that lots of information points to in 14 15 terms of what kind of campers are. That will be 16 discussed further. The second major concern is the extent of physical 17 18 damage to the natural environment, and that's what first 19 alarmed us. It goes right to the core of our 20 responsibility here. And I see this company is called 21 Ecological Design -- Wilkinson Ecological Design, but I 22 think the point that's been made is this is not just 23 replanting a few small trees. And maybe -- I don't know

if it can be done. I hope they come up with some kind

24

of magic, but it's certainly not this thing that we're seeing here today. That's one message.

And thirdly, the process is true. I believe -- I don't know, but correct me if I'm way off base, Attorney Nagle. But Adventure Bound Campground Resorts is not a rookie in this business. Apparently you have sites all over the country, from what I understand, and probably that company has been through this before. How they could not have been aware of local zoning, state zoning, permits and everything that now we're getting the mea culpas from or for is just inconceivable. So I agree with George. We as a committee three years ago said in good faith we'll give you guys three more years, your clients, to go deal with wastewater because that's a huge issue, and here we are now with this situation.

So I don't know -- I guess I'll conclude by saying we always were open for discussion. We may get to the point in November, I guess our next meeting, where we'll have to consider whether an extension is worthwhile to keep the discussion going, but I sure would hope that there is a lot of progress and a lot of real solid, good improvement on all fronts when we get to that point so we will have some -- some truly good faith basis to grant an extension. Otherwise I see a lot of evidence

1	on the other side. But I hope I'm summarizing properly.
2	That's not me pontificating and trying to steal all the
3	information I heard here.
4	Okay, thank you very much for your time.
5	MR. NAGLE: Thank you very much.
6	MS. BURGESS: Thank you.
7	MR. DELANEY: Back at you, the Superintendent's
8	Report. A minor digression here.
9	MR. PRICE: Right. So one of the I think,
10	frankly, just to let you know, I'm already seeing that
11	I'm going to abbreviate my report. So we're going to
12	hit with some of the highlights.
13	MR. DELANEY: Can I just say Russ, thank you for
14	coming and taking the time.
15	MR. BRAUN: Well, thank you for allowing me to
16	attend.
17	MR. DELANEY: No, we always want public officials
18	to be with us when appropriate and through your
19	delegate, but we appreciate you taking the time to be
20	with us.
21	MR. BRAUN: And if there are any questions, you
22	know, Maureen knows how to find me, Mary-Jo knows how
23	to find me. Call me directly. I'm happy to fill you
24	in.

CAPE COD MODERN HOUSES AND THE NATIONAL SEASHORE -

BILL BURKE, PARK HISTORIAN

MR. PRICE: So this morning we had a really great field trip. As you know, we offer the Commission field trips the morning of, and we decided to take advantage of an offer from Peter McMahon to visit one of the historic modern houses. For those of you that didn't make it, you should really feel sad because it was one of the best field trips. I just want to say that.

But the reason that I thought it was important was, as you all know, the vacant houses that the Seashore has is often about criticism from the community because unfortunately many of them are in very bad shape. A number of years ago a number of these houses were designated to be eligible for the National Register, which you'll hear about, and since that time they've been trying to figure out how to handle it.

Peter McMahon came forward, who worked with the Town of Wellfleet and formed a non-profit, which you'll hear a little bit about. And sitting behind me is Sue Moynihan. She's our chief of interpretation of cultural resources and is working with Bill Burke. They've worked with our regional office on coming up with what I think has been an amazing solution. And for those of

1 you that were on the field trip really got to	see the
2 fruits of that collaboration on them actually	taking
3 over long-term leases and really saving these	houses
4 from the brink because the only alternative i	S
5 eventually they're no longer structurally via	ble and
6 they just have to be demolished. But we now	have a
7 small collection, and we'll continue to work	with Peter
8 on some others.	
9 So I've asked Bill Burke, our Park histo	rian, to
give us just a quick PowerPoint overview of t	he modern
11 houses so that those of you that weren't able	to attend
the field trip can get a sense of what we saw	and where
13 the program is headed.	
MR. BURKE: Are we allowed to hit the lie	ghts?
15 MR. PRICE: Yes.	
16 (Pause.)	
MR. BURKE: Okay, good afternoon, everyb	ody.
Superintendent Price asked me to go over all	of the
modern houses that we have in the Park that a	re
considered historic now and what we're doing	with them.
21 So I'm going to give you some visuals of those	e.
22 This is a classic story of the famous qu	estion of
22 This is a classic story of the famous que 23 what's historic and how the question what's h	

rise of a new movement in architecture and design that began in Europe with reaction to the Victorian Age and all the ornamentation of the Victorian Age. It was a reaction to World War I, the rise of new regimes in Europe and false monarchies in Europe. So the Bauhaus movement was established in Germany. That movement emphasized the harmony and function and form in architecture, furniture design, and really every aspect of daily life. That Bauhaus movement in Europe transfers to the United States. Frank Lloyd Wright, here is his Fallingwater work. Modern architecture and the thinking behind the Bauhaus comes to America. And some of the architects who have to flee Nazi Germany in the 1930s, they come over and teach at places like Harvard and MIT.

And Cape Cod, and in particular Wellfleet, becomes this kind of origination point of modern architecture in Europe. So this is a shot of some of the ponds in Wellfleet. And Jack Phillips, who is a prominent Bostonian, acquired an awful lot of land in the ponds area and then began selling it to his associates who were modern architects from Europe. And some of the big names -- we won't get into too much of this -- but Serge Chermayeff on the left, Marcel Breuer on the right were

two of the architects that summered in Wellfleet, built
their summer houses, built houses for their clients
along with a whole bunch of other famous modern
architects as well.

So we have at the National Seashore eleven post-1959 properties that have been found over the years to be historic. This is Ruth Hatch, who has since passed away since my meeting with her many years ago. This is the Hatch House. This is one of those eleven modern house historic properties that are within Cape Cod National Seashore or owned by Cape Cod National Seashore. This house was built in 1960 after the cutoff date for construction at the Seashore.

So when Ruth Hatch died, this house was turned over to the National Seashore and has since been restored by a group called the Cape Cod Modern House Trust. We have three houses within the Modern House Trust family now. They lease them from the National Seashore. This is the interior of the Hatch House. The Modern House Trust has been a great partner. They've restored the house, and they've even in the case here at Hatch House have gotten the original furnishings and furniture from the Hatch family. So that Modern House Trust partnership has been awesome.

Pictured on the right is Paul Weidlinger. For those of you who were on the field trip this morning, Weidlinger was born in Hungary, is a famous industrial designer designing bombproof shelters, and his summer house, which he designed himself, we visited this morning. Many of us visited this morning out in the woods on Higgins Pond. This is a pre-restoration picture of the Cape Cod Modern House Trust very recently. Within the last couple of years, it has restored this house and, as we saw today, has restored inside and outside of the house. The third property that the Modern House Trust manages for us is the Kugel/Gips House, a little bit of a Frank Lloyd Wright look to it. That's a look from the western side. again, the Modern House Trust through our leasing program has restored the inside and the outside, has furnished it appropriately, and they offer scholar and residence programs during the summer, and they offer residencies through paying rent to the National Seashore to Kugel/Gips House. Now, the other eight structures are in various stages of being used either for employee housing, they're sitting vacant, or other uses. So this is the

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Kuhn House in Wellfleet. This is one of our eleven that

is historic that is now sitting vacant. This is what
the interior of the house looks like. We have tried to
obtain funding to restore this house, but it sits
vacant, and it's getting lots of water damage now. So
this is one of our not so successful stories at least up
to this point.

The Tisza House, also in Wellfleet just south of
Newcomb Hollow, this is a house designed by Hammarstrom,
and this is a house that we use for summer employee
housing. The Sirna Studio, this is also south of
Newcomb Hollow overlooking the ocean, a wonderful arched
front to it. The Sirna Studio is in our short-term
lease program where people can spend a week at a time at
this property.

We also have the Deane House. This is in Eastham up on Tomahawk Trail overlooking Nauset Marsh. The Whitlock House, which is another one of our historic modern houses, this one is sitting vacant currently also in Eastham near Doane Rock. We have the Conover House. This is off of Ocean View Drive in Wellfleet. We use this as employee housing. The Kohlberg House, which is currently vacant but we've come to kind of a handshake agreement that this will be the fourth Modern -- Cape Cod Modern House Trust property. That will be we will

lease this property to the trust, and then we'll restore it. Again, this is a spectacular house overlooking the ocean.

MS. GREEN: Where is that?

MR. BURKE: It is south of Newcomb Hollow way in the woods. This is an A-frame structure that was used as a guest cottage for the Kohlbergs. That will also be part of I believe a future lease with the Cape Cod Modern House Trust.

And the Wilkinson House, this is just west of Newcomb Hollow, and this is used for summer employee housing for Seashore employees.

So just to sum it all up here, we were fortunate four years ago to obtain funding to do a large study of all potential modern houses both owned by the National Seashore and privately owned. So 160 modern houses were identified in the Truro/Wellfleet/Eastham area. There's only one in Provincetown, one of the Gropius-designed houses in Provincetown as well. Then we really honed in from 160 down to a smaller number of properties, and in the very end six houses have now been listed on the National Register and six have gotten nearly complete documentation.

24 So as the Park moves ahead with these houses, we're

leasing the top three that you see there. We've got employee housing, which is good for the houses. They don't sit vacant. They're being used by people. At least in the summer months, that's important. We have short-term rentals at the Sirna Studio, and we're really honing in on that Kohlberg House for a new Cape Cod Modern House Trust lease. That leaves us with the other two houses that we're strategizing now about in trying to save them because we don't want them to sit empty.

In closing, I find it very fitting that one of the earliest actions of the Cape Cod Advisory Commission was to solicit the opinion of modern architects on Cape Cod as to what the modern architects and other noted architects on Cape Cod thought of the issue of what our new administrative building should look like on Cape Cod, what should our visitor centers look like, what should the design of our bathhouses look like, interpretive shelters, and this was the response from kind of the father of Bauhaus. He responded by writing a letter to the Advisory Commission, and in it you can see what he said. And I think this set the tone that the Advisory Commission then relayed to the superintendent at the time, the first superintendent that the buildings at the National Seashore

1	administratively were built would be built. This was
2	the thinking. Don't try to make them look like old Cape
3	Cod style architect. In fact, we have our visitor
4	center is a testament to the fact that we took a new
5	direction in architecture and weren't slaves to the old
6	style on Cape Cod.
7	That's it.
8	MR. DELANEY: Thank you.
9	(Applause.)
10	MR. PRICE: So out of the houses that are empty
11	so the Whitlock house is one that's near and dear to my
12	heart. So that's actually where the Association for the
13	Preservation of Cape Cod started, the Whitlocks.
14	MS. GREEN: The Whitlocks?
15	MR. PRICE: The Whitlocks, right.
16	MS. AVELLAR: In Provincetown.
17	MR. PRICE: And I was here when the last tenant or
18	the last family member left. And remember, when the
19	Park Service took these houses, the previous tenant
20	often had a use and occupancy term, and the terms varied
21	depending on what the negotiation was. So that
22	structure came over to us, and we frankly had high hopes
23	for it, meaning that it was going to be really a great
24	place for us to use for Park housing. Our science

1 program was interested in it at the time. The problem 2 with it is it's a big structure, and it looks like 3 there's a lot of bedrooms, but there aren't. I think at the end of the day there's only like two bedrooms. There's a lot of open spaces that people used as 5 bedrooms, which you can do if it's a family, but if 6 7 you're now a government operation where you have to have a defined bedroom and all that sort of thing, it changes 8 9 So we've been unsuccessful in bringing in a partner it. to try to figure out what that's about. And the Kuhn 10 11 House, unfortunately the same thing. The Kuhn House 12 structurally is in very, very bad shape.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, part of what we learned on the tour this morning is that some of these houses were basically built with a type of fiberboard. It's like a cardboard, and they weren't designed to be long-term or year-round residence. So that's part of why the deterioration came into play. I was mentioning when I lived in Chelmsford and had a house in 1959, basically the siding was cardboard and the bottom course would all, you know, eventually just -- like any cardboard when it gets wet, and I'd have to replace that every other year. So that was a building material that they used, but it certainly doesn't have longevity.

1 So in any case, I think it all came together. The 2 Park Service initially was not on board that these 3 should be national historic registered properties, and there was a strong movement that they should. Obviously it went through the entire process over the course of a 5 number of years, and finally it was determined both by 6 7 the state and the National -- Keeper of the National Register that they did warrant that status even though 8 9 they didn't have the 50 years age, they didn't do this, 10 they didn't do that. But it was a special collection, 11 so now that's what we have. 12 So I thought it was great. Bill, thank you very much for that overview for this group. 13 14 And for those of you that went on the field trip, 15 you actually got to see up close and personal. And the 16 special treat was the current tenant for Peter's group actually was Weidlinger's son. He's actually there. I 17 18 think he's from the West Coast, they said. 19 MS. GREEN: Yeah. 20 He's staying there, and he's writing a MR. PRICE: 21 book and doing a movie about his father's life. 22 decided to stay there. He said he spent 14 summers 23 there, so he's certainly very familiar with it. So all 24 around it was pretty nice, a nice day.

1	MR. DELANEY: Good, thanks.
2	MR. PRICE: Any questions about the modern houses?
3	MR. DELANEY: Thank you for a very nice report.
4	That's good stuff.
5	Questions? Comments?
6	MS. AVELLAR: Just very briefly. I know the
7	Gropius House in Provincetown obviously isn't in the
8	Seashore, and it replaced a castle that had been on the
9	hill overlooking the Provincetown Inn, and there's
10	nothing Cape Cod about castles either. And when the
11	Murchisons built the Gropius House, people were enraged.
12	I mean, they were just enraged, but it replaced a
13	castle.
14	MR. PRICE: Right.
15	MR. DELANEY: Good point.
16	Lilli?
17	MS. GREEN: In fact, the son is a filmmaker by
18	profession, and he's creating a film and writing a book,
19	as George said. He has a website. It's called The
20	Restless Hungarian, so therestlesshungarian.com. And
21	he's produced eight short films, and he said the one
22	that depicts his father in this location is "through my
23	father's eyes." So if anyone wants to follow up with
24	that, it might be interesting.

1	MR. DELANEY: Good, thanks.
2	Continue on.
3	MR. PRICE: Okay, just quickly I'm just going to
4	hit a couple of the highlights. It's moving on
5	obviously and getting late.
6	SHOREBIRD MANAGEMENT PLAN/ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT - UPDATE
7	MR. PRICE: But I just want to let you know that
8	we're still working with the contractor on a shorebird
9	management plan, and that will most likely come out
10	either December or January.
11	SEASHORE PROJECTS
12	MR. PRICE: We're working on a number of projects.
13	For those of you that have seen the Salt Pond Visitors
14	Center recently, you'll notice all the benches are gone.
15	We have a contractor in place. We're expecting a start
16	work order very soon, and that entire area will be
17	rehabilitated similar to the campground. A lot of trees
18	will be taken out because we're putting in an ADA path.
19	We're replacing all the benches with backs.
20	We're going to redo the shell, Rich, which is near
21	and dear to your heart and really do a number of great
22	things.
23	NATIONAL PARK SERVICE CENTENNIAL
24	MR. PRICE: I had prepared a PowerPoint just to

give you highlights about the Centennial. I'm actually not going to show that this meeting. I'll save that for the next meeting because for the sake of time because I do want you to see the slides. But in that are a couple of slides about a remarkable program that we had with the Cape Cod Symphony Orchestra, and it was 800 plus people on blankets and chairs and everything. Our landscaping people had razed the bottom of the trees so that you could have a line of sight, and it just was a magical time. And we'll share more about that at the next meeting, but we're looking forward to really exploring and developing that site as a programming area now that our crew has taken down a lot of foliage so that there's vista clearing. There was a nice breeze, so there wasn't a mosquito to be had even as it got darker.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

NAUSET LIGHT BEACH UPDATE

MR. PRICE: We're continuing to work on the Nauset Light Beach project. That involves two phases. Number one, we anticipate a demo of the current facility this fall, although every time we turn around the price of the demo goes up. But we're very concerned that that will not last another winter.

We are also working with our Denver office, and we

1	have invited the Town of Eastham to join us through
2	Sheila. The town manager's going to join us for a value
3	analysis, which is going to review the what we can do
4	for replacing the stairs. And we're actually
5	considering other options. And there's a dip in the
6	dunes, so we might seriously take a look at not
7	replacing the stairs in the same location as we have
8	forever and maybe taking a look at it a different route.
9	So that meeting's coming up soon.
10	MR. NUENDEL: Just one quick question. Going back
11	to the beach house, I'm still not understanding why we
12	need to rebuild the one on the south side of the parking
13	lot on the parking lot.
14	MR. PRICE: We're not even close to that.
15	MR. NUENDEL: Oh, there's no there's no
16	MR. PRICE: Well, two things. We want to demo the
17	current bathhouse. We're going to have to use portable
18	facilities between now and when we get the money, which
19	might be
20	MS. TEVYAW: '19.
21	MR. PRICE: 2019.
22	MR. NUENDEL: Right.
23	MR. PRICE: And we'll have to decide what the size
24	and see how the facility will look and where we put it,

but it will definitely have to deal with the contour of
the geography and where we'll be able to put a septic
system. So right now, yes. We've made public
presentations. I've shown markers how it's going to be
so close to Ocean View Drive.

Some of our projects like the amphitheater and the Centennial projects. We're working a lot on trail repair. We fixed up the Nauset Knoll. You may know one of our concessionaires is the Nauset Knoll Motel overlooking Nauset Beach in Orleans. We're working with them, continuing to work on the Penniman House with flashing. Once we can get the envelope tight, because it still leaks, then we can work on coming up with some interior pieces.

HIGHLANDS CENTER UPDATE

MR. PRICE: I sent you all an invitation, if you're interested tomorrow. We're having a presentation by our historic architects about the repairs to the Highland Light.

So the Highland Light has had some serious structural issues since it was moved, and our people have been taking a look at it and are coming up with a multiple-phased approach. We actually did one phase which allowed people to continue to go up it, but we

1 have a couple more phases. And one of the phases may 2 involve being closed for an extended period of time 3 while we're doing the work. What I wanted to do was to make sure that people from the golf course, the Truro Historical Society -- I 5 sent out an invitation to Rae Ann, who is a member of 7 the board of selectmen, and certainly any of you all who are interested in that structure, at one o'clock 8 9 tomorrow up at the Highland -- excuse me -- at the 10 Highlands Center in the classroom. We'll be having a presentation. 11 12 At this point, Kathy, the repair dollar value is up 13 to what? The total is 1.5 million. 14 MS. TEVYAW: 15 MR. PRICE: 1.5 million. So we're talking serious structural issues. 16 One of the projects that we're very excited about 17 18 that we were able to get is investing in a new Park So Sue has been leading the charge on starting 19 20 that initiative. We'll be meeting with the company that actually got the contract. It's called Northern Lights, 21 22 if you're familiar with that. They've done a number of 23 museum exhibits not only in this Northeast area but 24 throughout the country.

1	We have received we're in the line for funding
2	to totally replace the Penniman barn, and that's a 2017
3	project.
4	MS. TEVYAW: Rehab.
5	MR. PRICE: A rehab.
6	We have a 2017 project for replacing the Province
7	Lands Visitor Center exhibits, so that's something that
8	we're really looking forward to. We're doing a rehab of
9	the Three Sisters, which are the three lighthouses on
10	Cable Road on the right that are in pretty sad shape.
11	We'll be doing more about the modern houses,
12	specifically a landscape study. We hope as early as
13	next month beginning some of the demo of some of the
14	buildings at the Highlands Center.
15	Lauren, how many structures do we think we have
16	now?
17	MS. McKEAN: There will be eight.
18	MR. PRICE: Eight structures.
19	MS. McKEAN: Two barracks, one power plant, and
20	five housing units.
21	MR. PRICE: And that's at 1.3 million, right?
22	MS. McKEAN: 2, 1.2 million.
23	MR. PRICE: \$1.2 million.
24	MS. AVELLAR: And they're just starting to get paid

1	now?
2	MR. PRICE: Yes.
3	MS. AVELLAR: Is there going to be parking there
4	because going to Payomet is a real pain in the butt
5	getting in and out of there. Someone's going to be
6	replacing
7	MR. PRICE: Right now we're taking down all these
8	buildings for \$1.2 million, and that starts that
9	starts in October.
10	MS. AVELLAR: Okay.
11	MR. PRICE: We also have some other pending
12	projects. For those of you that are in the Eastham area
13	familiar with the Red Maple Swamp Trail, I hear about
14	that every meeting. We're beginning a pilot project
15	with the Friends this fall, and basically we're going to
16	do it again in phases. And we're beginning the first
17	phase very quickly, and we hope to get funding to be
18	able to undertake the Province Lands Visitor Center
19	amphitheater.
20	One of the projects that I do want to mention that
21	is pretty exciting, I was speaking with Peter at the
22	modern house. He was talking to our science folks, to
23	Nita and Mark Adams, about a collaborative program where
24	he might actually get some people that would be tenants

1	in the modern houses to work in collaboration with the
2	real-time science out there in developing collaboration.
3	We'll have to see what comes out of that exercise. So
4	we think that will be really very exciting.
5	HERRING RIVER WETLAND RESTORATION
6	MR. PRICE: As I said, I do want to pass on the
7	other piece, but I did want to mention the Herring River
8	wetlands restoration has had a couple of really great
9	benchmarks. Specifically we completed the EIS now.
10	We're waiting for the signature of the regional director
11	for the record of decision. We also have the signature
12	page in for the regional director to sign for the MOU-3,
13	which is the formal relationship between the Seashore,
14	Truro, and Wellfleet. So those are big benchmarks for
15	sure.
16	So that's actually it for the Superintendent's
17	Report. Do you have any questions?
18	MR. DELANEY: Questions on these topics or anything
19	else? Lilli?
20	MS. GREEN: Thank you. The shorebird management
21	plan.
22	MR. PRICE: Yes.
23	MS. GREEN: What is the status?
24	MR. PRICE: That won't be coming up until December/

1	January. Remember, we received a ton of like 2,000
2	some comments. So we've been spending a lot of time
3	both with our staff and with the vendor doing an
4	analysis to see where they fall, how does that impact
5	the preferred alternative, et cetera.
6	MS. BURGESS: Mr. Chairman?
7	MR. DELANEY: Maureen.
8	MS. BURGESS: But I understand you had better
9	success with the plover fledging this year; is that
10	correct?
11	MR. PRICE: Yes, as a matter of fact, we did.
12	Nita, do you have the stats off the top of your
13	head?
14	MS. TALLENT: 124 chicks fledged.
15	MS. BURGESS: So what changed?
16	MS. TALLENT: We will be meeting over the next
17	three weeks to discuss that. We're having an after
18	action review, and we hope to be able to take a look at
19	that.
20	MS. BURGESS: Less foxes?
21	MS. TALLENT: So much depends on the capacity of
22	nature, so we'll figure out if it's predators. Lack
23	of storms has been one of the topics that have come
24	up, but it's really early to even be able to point at

1	why we have success of so many fledged chicks this
2	year.
3	MS. BURGESS: (Inaudible) compared to last year
4	was
5	MS. TALLENT: Before I got here.
6	MR. PRICE: Maureen, one other thing. The lack of
7	storms is not to be underestimated because typically
8	we've lived through early spring storms, and then the
9	overwashes of the nests that have already been laid by
10	the birds get washed out and they start over again with
11	the nests. So this year I don't know that we had any
12	overwashes.
13	MS. TALLENT: And I'm not making light, but I am
14	giving you great respect on this. But as we come
15	forward with more information, we'll find ways to share
16	it. It's just that having arrived in June I wasn't
17	completely sure how the storm season fended, but that
18	has come up as a topic, as a variable that would
19	contribute to success. And it has a cascade, the domino
20	of less predators.
21	MS. BURGESS: Good.
22	MR. DELANEY: Okay, so if there are no more
23	questions on the Superintendent's Report, I'd like to go
24	to Old Business.

1	OLD BUSINESS
2	MR. DELANEY: And under that, Mark, we haven't had
3	an update on the Live Lightly Campaign for a while.
4	MR. ROBINSON: I've just got a few slides.
5	MR. DELANEY: I'm glad to see you're back in action
6	after your battle with the tick.
7	MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, I had a tick battle.
8	MS. AVELLAR: Lyme disease?
9	MS. LYONS: Did you have an infection?
10	MR. ROBINSON: (Inaudible).
11	MS. AVELLAR: Oh, wow.
12	MS. LYONS: Wow.
13	MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, it took a month to get sick
14	and a month to get better. I'm all better now.
15	LIVE LIGHTLY CAMPAIGN PROGRESS REPORT
16	MR. ROBINSON: So I wanted to just some of this
17	seems like old news, but I was hoping to give it to you
18	last meeting, and I wasn't here.
19	This was our campaign working with the Seashore and
20	the land trust to reach out to the 600 private
21	landowners within the Park and encourage them to think
22	about what they can do for conservation of open space on
23	their own properties.
24	So this has been going on now for several years,

and we've had previous projects completed, but these are the most recent ones that I wanted to mention. So this is old news at this point, but the Edgewood Farm was the old Corey, Davis, Young farm just south of Pamet River, and you can see it here over 100 years ago, a real Cape Cod farm. It was owned by the Meldahls for the last 50-60 years, and you see how it abuts the National Seashore on several sides. And just as it's important to protect land in the Park, it's also important to protect the periphery so that there's a nice buffer there of open space around the Park.

Here you can see that Lot 5 on the bottom is about 11 acres bought by the town through the land bank bill in 2002. And then the Meldahls said, "Our mother's died. We need to sell. We'd like to sell it for conservation and arts appreciation and culture." So we put together this partnership with the Truro Center for the Arts at Castle Hill whereby they will buy the historic structures, use it as an arts campus, and then there were two subdivision lots on top of what's called Great Hill that looks out to Ballston Beach that the Truro Conservation Trust was able to buy. And that's the ridge running out to Great Hill, and that's the view from the top (indicates). And so there's a bench up

there that the family had put up years ago. It's still available for the public to wander up to. We're going to be creating a trail system that will lead you up there, some of which you can see the beach over the Head of Pamet in the distance there.

Just to show you how we rely on public-private partnerships, we involve the state grant to the local land trust. We had a bequest of money from David Kuechle, who was a longtime summer resident in Truro nearby. We were able to buy these four and a half acres, two building lots for \$536,000. And that actually is a bargain sale based on the fact of what Truro lot prices are nowadays for two building lots. So and the state tax credit, which is available to people who have sensitive land and they either sell it for less than it's worth or donate it. In this case it was \$73,000 for the Meldahls. It's a nice example of how conservation groups can work together with arts groups as well as the town in putting together these kind of properties.

Meanwhile in Eastham, as a direct result of getting our brochure, Aimee Eckman and Joanna Stevens wanted to participate and do what they could do. Well, what they could do was put two of their four acres under

1	conservation restriction. This is the historic Solomon
2	Doane farmstead from 1750. That's the house on the left
3	there. Beautiful birch tree in the front of that house.
4	In fact, I think it's the best birch tree on the Cape.
5	And so this was the Eastham Conservation
6	Foundation, which is the local land trust. Dr. Joanna
7	Buffington is here today.
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER (DR. JOANNA BUFFINGTON): Hi.
9	MR. ROBINSON: She's on the board of directors of
10	the ECF.
11	So we work collaboratively with them to put their
12	woods under a conservation restriction. You'll see it's
13	around the corner from the visitor center on historic
14	Nauset Road.
15	And just nice woods that abuts, again, the Seashore
16	on three sides, so a little more of a buffer through
17	their voluntary cooperation in placing this conservation
18	restriction on the woods. And so we thank them for
19	living lightly on the land and participating in the
20	program.
21	Finally, in Provincetown the what I like to call
22	the rejuvenated Provincetown Conservation Trust with
23	Celine Gandolfo back at the helm. We were able to
24	purchase a one-and-a-half-acre maple swamp, a very

1	critical habitat there at the east end of Commercial
2	Street. You can see the water tank, and you can see all
3	the way from Barnstable Village on a clear day jutting
4	up over (inaudible). And this property is also helping
5	to preserve the buffer along the edge of the
6	recreational path and the railroad bed. So that's the
7	property up on the left there.
8	So anyway these are just examples both in and out
9	of the Park where landowners and land trusts are working
10	together to help support the mission of the Park.
11	Any questions?
12	MS. BURGESS: Yes, Mark?
13	MR. ROBINSON: Yes.
14	MS. BURGESS: So you mentioned in the Edgewood Farm
15	a piece. Who actually puts in the trails when you say
16	we?
17	MR. ROBINSON: Well, these lots in green here
18	there are existing trails now that the town working with
19	the trust have cut on the 11 acres.
20	MS. BURGESS: Right.
21	MR. ROBINSON: You can see there are some old dirt
22	roads that were pre-existing that we incorporated into
23	the trail system, but the one to the Truro Conservation
24	Trust there, the (inaudible) property, that would be

1	their volunteers.
2	MS. BURGESS: Okay, thank you.
3	MR. DELANEY: Is there a newly formed little
4	parking spot
5	MR. ROBINSON: Yes, on town property.
6	MR. DELANEY: That's so you can get to it? The
7	trail starts there?
8	MS. BURGESS: Is that how you access it?
9	MR. ROBINSON: Yes.
10	MS. BURGESS: Because I was wondering that too.
11	MR. ROBINSON: Thank you.
12	MR. DELANEY: Okay, thanks, Mark.
13	Any volunteers to have Mark help you put a
14	conservation restriction on your manors and estates?
15	MR. ROBINSON: There are some great tax breaks that
16	we can do.
17	MR. DELANEY: Okay, so getting close to the end
18	here.
19	NEW BUSINESS
20	MR. DELANEY: New Business. Anyone like to raise a
21	brand-new issue or something we haven't tackled in our
22	wide-ranging discussions already?
23	(No response.)
24	MR. DELANEY: I will use this opportunity to go

1	back and welcome Sheila belatedly. Thank you for making
2	that extra effort to get back from Boston.
3	MS. LYONS: Sorry, I was yes, I was coming from
4	Boston.
5	MR. DELANEY: But I did want to mention to you we
6	had a long a good discussion based on Maureen's
7	report on the current status of Pilgrim, and we as a
8	group voted and I'm hoping you won't object to
9	write yet another letter to the Governor and others
10	reiterating our current past positions and articulating,
11	in regarding this governor in particular if he hasn't
12	heard it before, that there's an economic interest, a
13	potential economic disaster as well as an environmental
14	and health disaster. And we're going to have that
15	letter circulated, and hopefully you'll have a chance to
16	input into that.
17	MS. LYONS: I definitely can.
18	MR. DELANEY: It's going to be a good one. And the
19	second action we decided to do was we would then use
20	that letter to go back to our various boards of
21	selectmen and see if we could encourage them to write
22	similar or identical letters.
23	MS. LYONS: Right.
24	MR. DELANEY: And we also thought, number three,

1	that the commission might want to weigh in.
2	MS. LYONS: I will approach them. I'll bring that
3	up tomorrow Wednesday, excuse me. But I will make
4	note to do that because I wanted to bring it up as well
5	because it is it is deteriorating rapidly before our
6	eyes, and I mean, it's a real concern, I mean, in all
7	fashion. So yes.
8	Am I interrupting what you did you finish?
9	MR. DELANEY: There's just a fourth thing just to
10	get it on the table. Lilli is going to take the issue
11	to the Assembly of Delegates and see if we can get them
12	to
13	MS. AVELLAR: (Inaudible).
14	MS. LYONS: Yes, yes.
15	MR. DELANEY: But did you want to
16	MS. LYONS: No, I will definitely I have a real
17	concern about I mean, it's a growing concern. It's
18	nothing different, but it is just seemingly that it's
19	ignored as far as really the danger of this. And the
20	economic so when you talk about the economic fallout,
21	you're talking about the economic fallout as far as cost
22	or what it would cost people?
23	MR. DELANEY: Well, should there be
24	MS. LYONS: A catastrophe.

MR. DELANEY: The report that we had commissioned
here referencing that kind of economic
MS. LYONS: Right, I mean, I think it's an impact
to the entire state, and this is what they're not taking
into consideration. And it really is a true devastation
for the Cape because it just can't
MR. NUENDEL: We just want to remind people of way
back when, when this first came to light; the fact that
if this peninsula gets totally contaminated from
something up there, there goes the tourism.
MS. LYONS: There goes everything.
MR. NUENDEL: And then Charlie and his friends
won't get the money.
MS. LYONS: Not only will they not get the money,
but there will be the money to restore this is just
they're not it can make you very angry because
it's not like they didn't see this on the horizon
coming, and they did nothing about it. So that's what
is a very frustrating thing. But no, they don't want to
you know, we can kick things down the road for a long
time. We're good at that.
MR. DELANEY: Okay, thank you.
DATE AND AGENDA FOR NEXT MEETING
MR. DELANEY: So now we'll go to the public

1	comment. No, wait. What would be next? Agenda for the
2	next meeting.
3	MR. PRICE: Selecting the date. Mr. Chair, at this
4	point we have an option of either doing the end of
5	November, beginning of December, which would give us
6	time. So in looking at my personal calendar, I'm
7	looking at, say, perhaps November 21, although that's
8	the week of the beginning of Thanksgiving week. And
9	then I would recommend maybe December 5th or the 12th.
10	I suppose we can consider November 14 if the other ones
11	don't work.
12	MR. DELANEY: Well, the 14th is out for me. That
13	week I'll be out of the country. And the 21st does not
14	work, or that's Thanksgiving week? That may present
15	problems. I see at least one complication with Lilli.
16	The 28th is not good for your schedule?
17	MR. PRICE: Well, I don't see pulling this meeting
18	off after a vacation week.
19	MR. DELANEY: Same thing that week. All right, so
20	we're talking
21	MS. GREEN: The 12th of December?
22	MR. DELANEY: December 5 or 12?
23	MR. CRAIG: Do we want to go that late based on our
24	conversation earlier about the campgrounds?

1	MS. GREEN: Right. I think it's coming down to an
2	extension. I think giving them that time might be of
3	benefit because there would be more clarity from the
4	town at that point.
5	MR. NUENDEL: It also gives them more time to get
6	their ducks in order too.
7	MS. GREEN: I can either do the 14th of November or
8	the 12th of December, so those are my two dates.
9	MR. PRICE: I think realistically the town's going
10	to get into extensions on all kinds of things, so I'm
11	not sure how much real good solid information we're
12	going to have by December, frankly.
13	MS. AVELLAR: So December 12?
14	MR. DELANEY: So December 12, you're suggesting,
15	George?
16	MR. PRICE: Well, either the 5th or the 12th are
17	the two that
18	MR. DELANEY: Does anyone have a conflict with
19	either one of those? We can move it up.
20	MS. AVELLAR: I don't.
21	MS. LYONS: No.
22	MS. GREEN: In fact, the 12th is the only day that
23	I can do.
24	MR. DELANEY: Oh, it's the only one you can do?

1	Oh, you have a conflict on the 5th. Okay, let's go with
2	the 12th then.
3	MS. AVELLAR: Okay.
4	MR. DELANEY: Okay, Larry?
5	MR. PRICE: So, Mr. Chair, just so that you're
6	aware, besides Attorney Nagle coming back, that will be
7	also a day we'll have the follow-up presentation by the
8	Army Corps of Engineers relative to their project of the
9	third phase of the FUDS program here at former Camp
10	Wellfleet. Remember they came in a while ago when they
11	were initiating it? We tried to get them here for
12	today's meeting, but they couldn't make it. So the next
13	meeting they'll be here to follow up with us, so that's
14	a heads-up. And then the long now awaited and
15	extraordinary PowerPoint on the Centennial events we'll
16	have to put on that schedule.
17	MR. DELANEY: So we have a pretty good agenda
18	already.
19	MS. GREEN: Just for clarity, George, can I just
20	ask, they're not going to do any work before they come
21	to us; is that correct?
22	MR. DELANEY: What are you referencing?
23	MS. GREEN: I mean, my concern and concern for my
24	town is that if the landing strip, which is Wellfleet's

1	property, within this site is used or is being impacted
2	and it cannot be used for emergencies, then someplace
3	else would be
4	MR. PRICE: Okay, first of all so just to
5	clarify, the so-called landing strip is never used for
6	emergencies.
7	MS. GREEN: For helicopter emergencies?
8	MR. PRICE: No. We have a helicopter pad out the
9	back here.
10	MS. GREEN: Okay.
11	MR. PRICE: That's got a macadam on it, and that's
12	the the so-called landing strip is called that
13	because of its rectangular nature, but it's totally
14	wooded.
15	MS. GREEN: Oh, I didn't realize that.
16	MS. AVELLAR: (Inaudible)?
17	MR. PRICE: (Inaudible). This was the military
18	artillery officers.
19	MS. AVELLAR: Oh, I remember what it was. I just
20	never knew what it was.
21	MR. DELANEY: Larry?
22	MR. SPAULDING: Would it be helpful to I'll
23	mention Lauren's name, but she's not listening.

1	MR. SPAULDING: It would be helpful if maybe Lauren
2	thought it was appropriate to write Attorney Nagle and
3	he could give us kind of a summary of what's happening
4	and we could get it before that meeting so we don't all
5	of a sudden show up. You know, if he could get it to us
6	a week or week and a half in advance just on the
7	progress and what they're doing
8	MS. McKEAN: Yes.
9	MR. SPAULDING: I think that would be helpful.
10	MS. McKEAN: Yes, so that's why they put this
11	package for us. It wasn't the package we expected when
12	we got it last week, but we do do that and we will do
13	that again.
14	MR. SPAULDING: Great.
15	MR. PRICE: Lauren had contacted them anticipating
16	that they were going to give us material about the
17	progress with the town. So to get this restoration
18	plan, as you saw in my note to him, I was very confused
19	because that's like the 15th thing down the road, and
20	we're anticipating (inaudible). So it's an interesting
21	process. This outfit, I think that's it.
22	MR. DELANEY: Thank you. I think that was a really
23	good, powerful discussion we had on that topic, so
24	everyone I think there were a lot of very good,

1	honest, very strong statements. That's our role. That
2	was great. I appreciate that.
3	MS. BURGESS: Too bad it wasn't televised.
4	MR. DELANEY: The press wasn't here.
5	MR. ROBINSON: Too bad it's only the lawyer that
6	hears it.
7	MS. McKEAN: No, we got one here.
8	MR. PRICE: Pardon?
9	MR. DELANEY: Oh, good.
10	MS. McKEAN: We've got one here.
11	MR. DELANEY: Oh, good. Oh, good. Good, all
12	right.
13	PUBLIC COMMENT
13 14	PUBLIC COMMENT MR. DELANEY: Let me then turn to public comment
14	MR. DELANEY: Let me then turn to public comment
14 15	MR. DELANEY: Let me then turn to public comment period and call on the public and to take comments.
14 15 16	MR. DELANEY: Let me then turn to public comment period and call on the public and to take comments. Just all you need is your name and address.
14 15 16 17	MR. DELANEY: Let me then turn to public comment period and call on the public and to take comments. Just all you need is your name and address. AUDIENCE MEMBER (DR. JOANNA BUFFINGTON): Joanna
14 15 16 17	MR. DELANEY: Let me then turn to public comment period and call on the public and to take comments. Just all you need is your name and address. AUDIENCE MEMBER (DR. JOANNA BUFFINGTON): Joanna Buffington. I'm the trustee of the Eastham Conservation
14 15 16 17 18	MR. DELANEY: Let me then turn to public comment period and call on the public and to take comments. Just all you need is your name and address. AUDIENCE MEMBER (DR. JOANNA BUFFINGTON): Joanna Buffington. I'm the trustee of the Eastham Conservation Foundation.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. DELANEY: Let me then turn to public comment period and call on the public and to take comments. Just all you need is your name and address. AUDIENCE MEMBER (DR. JOANNA BUFFINGTON): Joanna Buffington. I'm the trustee of the Eastham Conservation Foundation. But hearing about the campground thing, I was very
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. DELANEY: Let me then turn to public comment period and call on the public and to take comments. Just all you need is your name and address. AUDIENCE MEMBER (DR. JOANNA BUFFINGTON): Joanna Buffington. I'm the trustee of the Eastham Conservation Foundation. But hearing about the campground thing, I was very yeah, that's shocking, but it sort of reminded me of

1	and I'm wondering why I can see everything. And the
2	leaves are there's no leaves. So the health of our
3	trees is I mean, that's not a good thing to add to
4	it, but can you just make a comment about what get
5	rid of droughts, get rid of moths. But is there
6	anything addressing the general tree health in the
7	Seashore or just fingers crossed?
8	MR. PRICE: Well, if I could from my perspective,
9	typically the Park Service is not involved with the mass
10	treatment for sure, and it's my understanding that the
11	treatment of gypsy moths is often a very frustrating and
12	negative activity because, as I understand it as I've
13	heard, is that they go through a cycle, and when you
14	really start spraying, you actually truncate the cycle
15	and their lifespan continues longer season after season
16	after season.
17	AUDIENCE MEMBER (DR. BUFFINGTON): Right.
18	MR. PRICE: So ever since they've been added to our
19	environment as an exotic, it's something that we seem to
20	just have to live with just is the process.
21	MS. LYONS: Isn't there another beetle or something
22	that
23	AUDIENCE MEMBER (DR. BUFFINGTON): The pine bark
24	beetle?

1 M	IS. LYONS: Yes, but it needs moisture to live in,
2 and it	didn't flourish this drought.
3 A	UDIENCE MEMBER (DR. BUFFINGTON): It's good for
4 ticks	too.
5 M	IS. LYONS: Which is one of the reasons why the
6 gypsy	moth took hold because the other species that is
7 their	predator wasn't able to flourish.
8	UDIENCE MEMBER (DR. BUFFINGTON): Well, I think
9 especi	ally important with any I'll call it restoration,
10 becaus	e that's what we did of this property in Truro,
11 would	be well, again, I don't know what the best
12 soluti	on is for planting things that, you know, will
13 thrive	e in our changing climate.
14 M	IS. BURGESS: Maybe we should do palm trees.
15 M	IS. LYONS: They might take. That's the problem
16 the ra	te we're going.
17 M	IR. PRICE: Thank you.
18 A	nyone else from the public? Yes, please?
19 M	IS. BACON: I actually have three comments to make,
20 about	three minutes.
21 M	IR. DELANEY: Just your name for the record.
22 M	IS. BACON: Kathleen Bacon from Wellfleet. I'm the
23 altern	ate.
24 F	irst, I thought the discussion about Pilgrim was
24 F	irst, I thought the discussion about Pilo

1	I'm shocked that there's not more anger and outrage.
2	It's blatant that all our pleas to either Charlie Baker,
3	the governor of our state, our senators, and Entergy are
4	being ignored. It would appear to me that while it's
5	admirable that you want to send a letter, that that will
6	also probably be ignored. I see the only change
7	happening there is not how it's going to affect our
8	economy but how we can bankrupt Entergy to go out of
9	business. You know, that's something has to hit them
10	in their pocket so that it's not profitable to operate
11	here. That's the only way I see this closing down. The
12	other thing I see happening is a massive, massive
13	organized show of civil disobedience against this power
14	plant. Whether it's a human chain from here to
15	Providence to Plymouth, something has got to get their
16	attention because all our pleas are going unanswered.
17	The next comment I want to make is about Horton's.
18	The company that went in there the contractor that
19	went in there I would be pretty sure sold all the
20	material
21	MS. BURGESS: Absolutely.
22	MS. BACON: that was taken from that property.
23	That needs to be addressed somehow and reimbursed.
24	MS. BURGESS: Where did it go? That's my question.

2 The wood was sold. All -- chips, all of it was sold. Ι 3 haven't heard anything about the restitution for that. But also in that conversation about Horton's, there 4 does seem to be up here it's moving in a different 5 6 direction than what it was, and that's something that is 7 going to be kind of ambiguous because all of Cape Cod, whether you're in the Park or not, is moving towards the 8 9 future in a way that is going to be very hard to 10 encapsulate that Cape Cod character. There's just --11 it's just the nature of things. 12 And if you'll indulge me just one more thing. MR. DELANEY: Go ahead. 13 14 MS. BACON: Going back to the Modern House Trust, 15 I've been the property manager next door to the 16 Weidlinger House for almost 25 years in Wellfleet. I'm familiar with the Weidlinger House when it was owned 17 18 by the family, when it fell into disrepair, and when -now that it's been restored. And Wellfleet residents 19 20 are thrilled about these houses being restored, but the 21 past two town meetings, we've designated CPA funds for 22 these houses, for the modern houses. 23 They're a cultural icon in Wellfleet. I knew Jack Phillips personally, as did many of us who went to the 24

MS. BACON: All that material was viable topsoil.

1

1	galleries back in the day, but for Wellfleet residents,
2	these houses are unelitist. You know, they're not open
3	to us. I would like to see the Modern House Trust
4	offer, you know, a one-week stay to a resident as a
5	just that it would be open for other individuals, not
6	just scholars or if the Modern House Trust would have an
7	open house open to the community. The point I'm trying
8	to make is, while we're all thrilled to see the houses
9	restored, they're really not integrated into the rest of
10	the community, Wellfleet per se.
11	So thank you for listening.
12	MR. DELANEY: Those were all helpful comments. I
13	just would react to the last one. I think you made me
14	think of our
15	MS. BACON: Peaked Hill Trust.
16	MR. DELANEY: well thought out plan
17	management plan for the dune shacks
18	MS. LYONS: Dune shacks, right.
19	MR. DELANEY: that do require certain weeks
20	sometimes to be available for the general public. So we
21	have a model to maybe deal with it like that. That's
22	something we could maybe actually do.
23	MS. LYONS: It might be something for them to
24	address before it does that sentiment catches because

1	it has been expressed. Kathy's right. At town meeting
2	like, you know, "This is all great. Why are we putting
3	this money into something that nobody can use? That we
4	can't use?"
5	MS. BACON: We can't use.
6	MS. LYONS: And if that starts, that there's a few
7	voices stating that, that will catch on in town meeting
8	after town meeting. It might be better for them to hold
9	that growing sentiment before it becomes an ugly an
10	ugly situation. So it's not a bad suggestion.
11	MR. DELANEY: Yeah, it's a good suggestion.
12	George?
13	MR. PRICE: Well, Kathleen, just as a we have
14	had conversations with Peter not about the elitist piece
15	but about the responsibility for more public access, and
16	there are a number of things that he does do now for
17	more public access that I just don't think is very well
18	publicized. So open houses and things are part of that.
19	That's number one. Number two then, part of the
20	challenge that they have as an organization for the
21	upkeep, don't forget, is also a revenue stream. So
22	that's part of their balance.
23	With the dune shacks, out of the 18 dune shacks,
24	remember there was very limited public access that's

1	managed through nonprofits that actually have a revenue
2	stream. So it isn't the Park Service getting involved
3	in that. And we have public programming that we do
4	whether it's guided tours to some of the shacks and then
5	the art organizations. Not the private individuals, but
6	the art organizations then present either at (inaudible)
7	or the fine arts workshop or even public programs at the
8	Visitors Center. So I didn't want everybody to think
9	that there is a lot of almost, you know, free or low
10	(inaudible) can't stay at the dune shacks, which should
11	be the model here.
12	MS. BACON: No, I know that.
13	MR. PRICE: And I just want you to know that. So
14	Peter and I have engaged on that, especially as we have
15	a look at the next couple of ones that he might
16	undertake.
17	MR. DELANEY: Lilli?
18	MS. GREEN: And perhaps maybe the (inaudible) is
19	the key piece.
20	MR. PRICE: Yes, right, right.
21	MR. DELANEY: Okay, one last thing. We're getting
22	on our last legs. Maureen, one more.
23	MS. BURGESS: Just to follow up on Kathleen's
24	points about Pilgrim, I would like to give you Charlie

1	Baker's office number so as individuals if you'd like to
2	write this down.
3	MR. PRICE: Is this his cell phone?
4	MS. LYONS: We only want his cell phone.
5	MS. BURGESS: Oh, I don't know his cell phone.
6	This is his office, 617-725-4005.
7	MR. DELANEY: Okay.
8	MR. NUENDEL: This is officially Charlie?
9	MS. BURGESS: The information I have from
10	Downwinders is that that's his office number.
11	MS. LYONS: They must have picked that up when they
12	were in there, right?
13	(Laughter.)
14	MS. BURGESS: Tied up on the floor.
15	MR. DELANEY: As I call for yes?
16	AUDIENCE MEMBER (ED MILLER): I don't want to keep
17	you longer than you
18	MR. DELANEY: Well, it's public comment. Go ahead.
19	AUDIENCE MEMBER (MR. MILLER): I do have a
20	question. I'm Ed Miller, associate editor of the
21	Provincetown Banner. I haven't been to many of these
22	meetings, so it may be that my question has been
23	answered, but please indulge me for a moment.
24	I assume you know that there is legislation that

1 has been introduced in the United States Congress by 2 Representative Keating and Senator Markey that involves 3 a swap of a 1.09-acre lot in Wellfleet on Long Pond with a house and a private beach for another property in Wellfleet that's slightly more than one acre. And the 5 bills are in committee and as far as I know have not 6 7 been approved, but in a conversation with Mr. Burke, the Park historian, he said that as far as he knew there was 8 9 no precedent for a house of this kind being transferred 10 from National Park Service ownership into private 11 ownership ever before. And in addition, the legislation 12 includes a change in the definition of improved property in the enabling legislation for the Cape Cod National 13 14 Seashore. So my question is, has this commission 15 reviewed this legislation and looked at it and expressed an opinion on whether it is a good idea or not? 16 MR. DELANEY: We have not been -- we have not 17 18 presented that issue, and I don't think anyone's aware 19 of it. 20 AUDIENCE MEMBER (MR. MILLER): I will add that a 21 reporter at the Banner who has been working on this 22 called up Superintendent Price to ask him about it, and 23 he said that he preferred not to comment because it was 24 a private matter.

1	MD DELANEV. Okasi
	MR. DELANEY: Okay.
2	MS. GREEN: That's the Rosenberg property.
3	MR. PRICE: Actually, what I said was I don't
4	discuss conversations with private property owners. And
5	this particular legislation would be an allowance and
6	that we wouldn't be making things public until it was
7	actually the potential of a deal. And the issue is
8	relating to the Herring River restoration property, and
9	specifically the current property that the Rosenbergs
10	own, they have a CSC. They have a certificate of
11	suspension of condemnation. And if we were to do a land
12	swap, then they would need the same protection. And as
13	far as swapping properties and we have a broad
14	authority when it comes to administrative activity with
15	the federal land if it's in the benefit of the
16	government. And I'm not sure that we haven't done
17	private properties.
18	MS. McKEAN: I am not sure either.
19	MR. PRICE: But I know that we have done numerous
20	we've done numerous property exchanges with the towns
21	and municipalities. There's been regular activity with
22	the towns in the Seashore boundary at the time.
23	MS. McKEAN: Yes, we have done private land
24	exchanges with private landowners. I don't know about

2 transferred to them or not. 3 MR. PRICE: So we have done private land exchanges. MR. DELANEY: Larry? 4 MR. SPAULDING: It's getting late. Why don't we 5 6 just put that on the next agenda. Maybe Lauren can get 7 a copy of the legislation or the report and send it to us because most of us don't know anything about it. 8 9 MR. DELANEY: This would be just -- okay, this should be on the agenda next, maybe as a generic issue 10 11 12 to modify my initial reaction, my answer to your question, this issue has been in front of us before. 13 14 have been briefed by the superintendent about the 15

whether there were structures on those lands that were

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

too about how land swaps happen or not happen, but just to modify my initial reaction, my answer to your question, this issue has been in front of us before. We have been briefed by the superintendent about the Herring River project. We've all known that there were a couple of key -- two, three, a handful of key private properties that historically have been stumbling blocks slowing this process down and that the Park Service has made numerous attempts to find ways to solve that ownership to facilitate the Herring River project going forward. And now I understand in that context this is another -- maybe a last-ditch attempt to make that happen because this is, I think we all have heard, one

of the -- one of the two absolute key pieces.

1 can't be solved, then there's a big limitation to what 2 can be achieved in the Herring Cove restoration project, 3 which this committee endorses 100 percent and enthusiastic. So my answer is we have -- I didn't connect 5 6 immediately the way you framed the question, but we are 7 aware. So it's taking a little different route, but I trust the superintendent has explained it had to be a 8 9 little bit confidential for the time being. 10 AUDIENCE MEMBER (MR. MILLER): Thank you. MR. DELANEY: So I am going to -- just at the end 11 12 I'm just going to say that -- before I call for a motion for adjournment, that earlier Don said we have a big 13 14 agenda, and we just proved it again today. I want to 15 make sure the record shows the Park has the real -- this agenda day to day. Superintendent Price, Kathy, Lauren, 16 and Nita about to get involved full force, and all the 17 18 rest of the Park Service, these are the guys that do this day in and day out with a huge agenda. We just 19 20 come in and get the cream of the crop and the tip of the 21 iceberg, but hats off to a tremendous team that does 22 this day in and day out. 23 MS. LYONS: And can I just add to that? I apologize for being late, but I did see that you must 24

1	have discussed the Centennial celebration.
2	MR. PRICE: Actually, that got bumped.
3	MS. LYONS: Oh, well, it really was an amazing
4	feat, and I congratulate not just George but the entire
5	staff. That was a real effort on everybody's part. I
6	mean, that was heavy lifting, and it was done
7	seamlessly. And it was a beautiful program, and it was
8	just great.
9	ADJOURNMENT
10	MR. DELANEY: Okay, motion to adjourn?
11	MS. GREEN: So moved.
12	MS. LYONS: Second.
13	MR. DELANEY: All those in favor, signify by saying
14	aye.
15	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
16	(Whereupon, at 3:39 p.m. the proceedings were
17	adjourned.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

PLYMOUTH, SS

I, <u>Linda M. Corcoran</u>, a Court Reporter and Notary

Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do

hereby certify that:

The foregoing 119 pages comprises a true, complete, and accurate transcript to the best of my knowledge, skill, and ability of the proceedings of the meeting of the Cape Cod National Seashore Advisory Commission at Marconi Station Area, Park Headquarters, South Wellfleet, Massachusetts, on Monday, September 19, 2016, commencing at 1:05 p.m.

I further certify that I am a disinterested person to these proceedings.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and notarial seal this 3rd day of November, 2016.

Linda M. Corcoran - Court Reporter My commission expires: August 28, 2020